

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 1/16/2024 8:43:19 PM

First name: Michael

Last name: Shostrand

Organization:

Title:

Comments: I object to the reclassification of fixed anchors as "installations" under this document. I am a Colorado taxpayer and this is a very important issue that I will consider when voting.

Climbing is a permitted use of public lands and fixed anchors are required to climb safely. Fixed anchors have been used since before the wilderness act.

My understanding is that an installation under the Wilderness Act was a signification structure i.e. a building, road, etc. Applying this designation to a tiny chunk of metal that is nearly invisible seems like a misuse.

I find it hard to believe that the USFS has the resources to conduct MRAs on all the bolts that exist and the new ones we need to put in. As such, it seems that this is a de facto ban on new routes and could be used to ban climbing altogether.

Climbers have demonstrated that we are a responsible user group that will be good stewards of public lands. Locally, we have tons of trail days where we clean and maintain trails. The Yosemite Face is an example of this. There was an issue and climbers came together to remedy it.

Climbers have been placing bolts for years and it has been working. Why do we want to upset this setup?

Please reconsider this guidance as it will have a negative impact on climber safety and the relationship between climbers and land managers.