

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 1/16/2024 8:34:34 PM

First name: Joseph

Last name: Curran

Organization:

Title:

Comments: I enjoy spending my free time recreating on land that is often USFS land. My particular favorite way to recreate is through climbing in hard to get to places. Climbing is an inherently risky activity, which I have spent years learning and enhancing ways to mitigate those risks. One of the most obvious ways and until this proposal, not one I thought about all that often is how the use of permanent bolts for rappelling and anchoring are paramount to safety. Bolts that are discreetly placed and routinely inspected and replaced are of the upmost importance to climbers safely recreating in the backcountry. The alternative if a wholesale bolt ban is enacted is people leaving birds nests of ropes, carabiners and tat cord all up and down a cliff. It is an eyesore and not nearly as safe as discreet and well placed bolts. It is also more dangerous that way, if this policy is enacted, you will have more injured climbers, leading to the resources required for rescues to be over extended. Like anything in life, a wholesale ban of something only leads to creative ways to get around things. More often than not in a far more dangerous way. The NPS is underfunded and in need of more employees as it is, this will only increase that burden, from both a financial and man power standpoint. In my experiences all over the country in National Parks, climbers are often the biggest stewards for leave no trace and for leaving a spot better than they found it. I think it is pretty clear that whoever wrote this policy has no idea the massive implications this would have for the safety of many people. I am all for banning sport climbing style bolting of rock faces. But an across the board ban is shortsighted and misinformed. Bolted anchors are not only used by climbers, but also by rescue workers accessing injured people in hard to reach places.