

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 1/12/2024 5:02:50 AM

First name: Joe

Last name: Bethke

Organization:

Title:

Comments: I am writing this as a dissenting opinion on the prohibition of fixed anchors and climbing safety equipment as outlined in the drafted document. To implement this abstract prohibition of fixed climbing safety equipment is to essentially slow the development of US climbing in some of the its most renowned destinations to a halt. A process for the ethical and safe implementation of fixed climbing equipment is a very sensible idea. However, the process must be equitable. The proposed MRA process is not equitable or well equipped for supporting climbing activity. The process should provide: Timeliness in response, expectations for the minimum development of new climbing infrastructure, or improvement of existing climbing infrastructure on an annual basis, and incentive for USDA Forest Service staff to collaborate with climbers on how the process can be improved in the future. Without a clear plan for achieving an equitable process of approval for fixed climbing equipment, I do not support the inclusion of the drafted items pertaining to fixed anchors.