

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 1/10/2024 5:24:11 PM

First name: Todd

Last name: Rutledge

Organization:

Title:

Comments: As a climber, and someone fortunate enough to have forged a 30-year career of sharing our nation's wild spaces with visitors as a guide, I want to ensure the safe and responsible use of fixed anchors in our National Forests remain available to the climbing community. I respect and advocate for the responsible use of National forest lands and believe that fixed anchors can be a component of a sustainable public land experience. Please revise your climbing guidance to reflect the practice and precedent of the last 60+ years - that fixed anchors for climbing can be used, replaced, and maintained in designated Wilderness areas.

Fixed anchors are an essential piece of climbers' safety system and are not prohibited "installations" under the Wilderness Act. Following existing climbing policies that allow judicious use of fixed anchors for more than a half century will do more to protect Wilderness character while providing for primitive and unconfined Wilderness climbing.

It is unreasonable for federal agencies to create new guidance policies prohibiting Wilderness climbing anchors across the country when they have allowed, managed, and authorized fixed anchors for decades.

Prohibiting fixed anchors will create safety issues by imposing unnecessary obstacles to the regular maintenance of fixed anchors, a responsibility undertaken by the climbing community. Critical safety decisions often must be made in the moment and any authorization process should not impede those decisions. Fixed anchor maintenance needs to be managed in a way that incentivizes safe anchor replacement and does not risk the removal of climbing routes.

Prohibiting fixed anchors obstructs appropriate exploration of Wilderness areas. Land managers need to allow climbers to explore Wilderness in a way that permits in-the-moment decisions that are necessary when navigating complex vertical terrain.

Prohibiting fixed anchors will threaten America's rich climbing legacy and could erase some of the world's greatest climbing achievements. Climbing management policy needs to protect existing routes from removal.

Restricting the establishment of new routes to "existing climbing opportunities" on non-Wilderness lands is unenforceable and will create confusion amongst land managers and climbers. Non-Wilderness climbing management policy should maintain opportunities for new anchors unless and until analyses determine climbing should be restricted to protect cultural and natural resources.