

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 1/8/2024 7:38:55 AM

First name: Evan

Last name: Alter

Organization:

Title:

Comments: I believe the policies should be updated to allow climbers to install and replace fixed hardware in wilderness areas. Fixed anchors have a minimal impact on the environment/wildlife and are hard for people on the ground to see. Natural forces, such as erosion and rock fall, impact rock faces in far more substantial ways than adding small bolts. Fixed anchors are critical to keeping people safe and preventing unnecessary and costly search and rescue missions. Fixed anchors keep climbing routes accessible to climbers who are unwilling to take extraordinary risks in order to explore the terrain and accomplish objectives in the mountains. Fixed anchors need to be maintained in order to remain safe, and should be managed in a way that allows climbers to make critical safety decisions in the moment, rather than engage in a lengthy authorization process. Agencies have been managing the use of fixed anchors for decades, and they should continue to do so. Climbing routes should not be restricted to existing "non-wilderness" routes because there is so much more terrain to be explored, and such policies would create confusion. Whether wilderness or non-wilderness, climbing routes and areas should only be closed temporarily if there are likely dangers to nesting birds or other wildlife considerations. Whether wilderness or non-wilderness, climbing routes should only be prohibited in the immediate vicinity of indigenous historical/cultural sites. America has a rich climbing history and legacy, and its mountains have inspired and challenged many who have come before us. We should invite new generations of climbers to continue to explore the beauty and majesty of the mountains.