

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 1/4/2024 8:04:04 PM

First name: john

Last name: lichtwardt

Organization:

Title:

Comments: In my view the ultimate goal of the NFS is to protect our National Lands for All interested parties which means supporting those who also wish to protect. Regulation should go no further than absolutely necessary to serve this end and this can only be determined fairly by all parties working together.

History has shown that those who love something tend to advocate, steward and protect  
Climbers certainly fit this model

Fixed anchors are, and have been for decades, an essential element of climber safety. Following existing climbing policies that have been in place for more than a half century that allow the judicious use of fixed anchors will do more to protect Wilderness character while providing for primitive and unconfined Wilderness climbing.

It is unreasonable for federal agencies to create new guidance policies prohibiting Wilderness climbing anchors across the country when they have allowed, managed, and authorized fixed anchors for decades

Prohibiting fixed anchors will create safety issues by imposing unnecessary obstacles to the regular maintenance of fixed anchors, a responsibility undertaken by the climbing community. Critical safety decisions often must be made in the moment and any authorization process should not impede those decisions. Fixed anchor maintenance needs to be managed in a way that incentivizes safe anchor replacement and does not risk the removal of climbing routes.

Prohibiting fixed anchors obstructs appropriate exploration of Wilderness areas. Land managers need to allow climbers to explore Wilderness in a way that permits in-the-moment decisions that are necessary when navigating complex vertical terrain.

Prohibiting fixed anchors will threaten America's rich climbing legacy and could erase some of the world's greatest climbing achievements. Climbing management policy needs to protect existing routes from removal.

Restricting the establishment of new routes to "existing climbing opportunities" on non-Wilderness lands is unenforceable and will create confusion amongst land managers and climbers. Non-Wilderness climbing management policy should maintain opportunities for new anchors unless and until analyses determine climbing should be restricted to protect cultural and natural resources.