

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 12/29/2023 10:36:19 PM

First name: Elizabeth

Last name: Priestley

Organization:

Title:

Comments: I am shocked and concerned about the recent draft policies that would prohibit fixed anchors (bolts, pitons, slings) in our Wilderness areas. These policies seek to overturn nearly 60 years of sustainable Wilderness climbing precedent and impact iconic climbing destination. .

Fixed anchors are an essential piece of climbers' safety and are not prohibited "installations" under the Wilderness Act. As outlined by the Access Fund organization, following "existing climbing policies that allow judicious use of fixed anchors for more than a half century will do more to protect Wilderness character while providing for primitive and unconfined Wilderness climbing.

It is unreasonable for federal agencies to create new guidance policies prohibiting Wilderness climbing anchors across the country when they have allowed, managed, and authorized fixed anchors for decades.

Prohibiting fixed anchors will create safety issues by imposing unnecessary obstacles to the regular maintenance of fixed anchors, a responsibility undertaken by the climbing community. Critical safety decisions often must be made in the moment and any authorization process should not impede those decisions. Fixed anchor maintenance needs to be managed in a way that incentivizes safe anchor replacement and does not risk the removal of climbing routes.

Prohibiting fixed anchors obstructs appropriate exploration of Wilderness areas. Land managers need to allow climbers to explore Wilderness in a way that permits in-the-moment decisions that are necessary when navigating complex vertical terrain.

Prohibiting fixed anchors will threaten America's rich climbing legacy and could erase some of the world's greatest climbing achievements. Climbing management policy needs to protect existing routes from removal. Restricting the establishment of new routes to "existing climbing opportunities" on non-Wilderness lands is unenforceable and will create confusion amongst land managers and climbers. Non-Wilderness climbing management policy should maintain opportunities for new anchors unless and until analyses determine climbing should be restricted to protect cultural and natural resources."

As a regular visitor to many national parks, national forest land and wilderness areas I strongly urge you to reconsider and NOT pass laws which will put climbers at severe risk, violate existing long held policies or otherwise impact climbing access and safety.