

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 12/22/2023 7:00:00 PM

First name: Thomas

Last name: Atherton

Organization:

Title:

Comments: Dear USFS, it has come to my attention that new management plans for wilderness rock climbing resources have been proposed that seriously and negatively affect recreational rock climbing safety and even established classic routes and areas. As someone who loves the outdoors and appreciates the opportunities to enjoy and recreate, I also understand the importance of good management to preserve future wilderness opportunities for others. That said we need management and policy that intelligently reflects the nuanced and well established approach that has been adopted and advocated by climbing community policy leaders like the Access Fund and American Alpine Club. I have included some points that should be considered and integrated into any new policy that covers climbing in these areas. Thank You for reading and taking time to understand many people care greatly about these policy changes and want to see policy that reflect their concerns while also protecting the places AND safe recreation opportunities for those that love exploring and climbing in these places we love.!!

\* Fixed anchors are an essential piece of climbers' safety system and are not prohibited "installations" under the Wilderness Act. Following existing climbing policies that allow judicious use of fixed anchors for more than a half century will do more to protect Wilderness character while providing for primitive and unconfined Wilderness climbing.

\* It is unreasonable for federal agencies to create new guidance policies prohibiting Wilderness climbing anchors across the country when they have allowed, managed, and authorized fixed anchors for decades.

\* Prohibiting fixed anchors will create safety issues by imposing unnecessary obstacles to the regular maintenance of fixed anchors, a responsibility undertaken by the climbing community. Critical safety decisions often must be made in the moment and any authorization process should not impede those decisions. Fixed anchor maintenance needs to be managed in a way that incentivizes safe anchor replacement and does not risk the removal of climbing routes.

\* Prohibiting fixed anchors obstructs appropriate exploration of Wilderness areas. Land managers need to allow climbers to explore Wilderness in a way that permits in-the-moment decisions that are necessary when navigating complex vertical terrain.

\* Prohibiting fixed anchors will threaten America's rich climbing legacy and could erase some of the world's greatest climbing achievements. Climbing management policy needs to protect existing routes from removal.

Restricting the establishment of new routes to "existing climbing opportunities" on non-Wilderness lands is unenforceable and will create confusion amongst land managers and climbers. Non-Wilderness climbing management policy should maintain opportunities for new anchors unless and until analyses determine climbing should be restricted to protect cultural and natural resources.