

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 12/20/2023 8:05:57 PM

First name: Andrew

Last name: Hou

Organization:

Title:

Comments: Hello NPS,

I'm writing in opposition to the recently released draft policies regarding the prohibition of fixed anchors (bolts, pitons, slings) in America's Wilderness areas. These policies would overturn nearly 60 years of sustainable Wilderness climbing precedent and impact some of America's most iconic climbs in Yosemite, Rocky Mountain National Park, Joshua Tree, Linville Gorge, the Wind Rivers, Sierra Nevada, and many others.

Fixed anchors are an essential piece of climbers' safety systems and are not prohibited "installations" under the wilderness act. Following existing climbing policies that allow judicious use of fixed anchors for more than a half century will do more to protect Wilderness character while providing for primitive and unconfined Wilderness climbing. It is unreasonable for federal agencies to create new guidance policies prohibiting Wilderness climbing anchors across the country when they have allowed, managed, and authorized fixed anchors for decades.

Prohibiting fixed anchors will create safety issues by imposing unnecessary obstacles to the regular maintenance of fixed anchors, a responsibility undertaken by the climbing community. Critical safety decisions often must be made in the moment and any authorization process should not impede those decisions. Fixed anchor maintenance needs to be managed in a way that incentivizes safe anchor replacement and does not risk the removal of climbing routes.

Prohibiting fixed anchors obstructs appropriate exploration of Wilderness areas. Land managers need to allow climbers to explore Wilderness in a way that permits in-the-moment decisions that are necessary when navigating complex vertical terrain.

Prohibiting fixed anchors will threaten America's rich climbing legacy and could erase some of the world's greatest climbing achievements. Climbing management policy needs to protect existing routes from removal.

Restricting the establishment of new routes to "existing climbing opportunities" on non-Wilderness lands is unenforceable and will create confusion amongst land managers and climbers. Non-Wilderness climbing management policy should maintain opportunities for new anchors unless and until analyses determine climbing should be restricted to protect cultural and natural resources.

As a dedicated climber of over 15 years, I've had the opportunity to explore and wonder at many wilderness areas. These are climbing experiences that have been truly formational to who I am as a person, and I look forward to sharing these experiences with my newly born son. The use of fixed anchors is imperative to our ability to continue to explore the wilderness, and is no different to me than the existence of trails.

I ask you to reconsider the proposed policies, and to support the Protecting America's Rock Climbing Act and America's Outdoor Recreation Act.

Andrew