

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 12/19/2023 4:52:44 AM

First name: GRANT

Last name: PETERSEN

Organization:

Title:

Comments: I object to the plan.

It is obvious the reviewers did not evaluate the impact of outfitter camps have on the environment. 2 soils pits is grossly insufficient to evaluate millions of acres. Camps will create exponential impacts to soil erosion and compaction. Campsites that experience even minimal use will have a lot of bare ground where livestock are tied. These bare areas are prime sites for cheatgrass and noxious weeds specifically spotted knapweed, ventenata, and Canada thistle. Even if these campsites are not eroded, non native grasses will most definitely take over. These aggressive invasive grasses don't have the rooting capacity to ensure site stability. Traditionally level sites used for outfitter campshave been used as campsites for first peoples. If increased users impact these site we risk losing historically significant sites.

Extra use on the forest will result in displacement of local users and wildlife. If wildlife leave the forest boundaries, they will create issues with surrounding private lands. This is already a sensitive topic in Montana and will only cause conflicts between users, landowners, and wildlife.

This is a woefully insufficient evaluate alternatives and definitely requires much more attention inches for of an EIS for NEPA. Our public lands are for the people not profit of the few.

The impacts to soils, vegetation, wildlife, and people are insufficient to truly evaluate the impacts of tripling users.

Even winter use by ski outfitters will negatively affect wintering wildlife especially sensitive species like bighorn sheep, mountain goats, lynx, wolverine, wolves, and moose.