

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 12/11/2023 1:50:07 AM

First name: Matthew

Last name: Swenson

Organization:

Title:

Comments: Hello - I am commenting upon the proposal regarding evaluation and authorization procedures for fixed climbing anchors and equipment. As a lifelong outdoor recreationist who loves wild places, I'm deeply concerned about the possible removal of fixed anchors and equipment that are deemed prohibited installations in wilderness. Fixed anchors save lives. Climbers don't place fixed anchors willy-nilly: anchors and equipment are expensive and require periodic maintenance. If anchors and equipment are removed because there isn't the capability to conduct a Minimum Requirements Analysis, or while an MRA is pending (which could take a long time), climbing areas will be less safe, and the result will be more injuries and fatalities. In short, this new proposed regulation seems like a problem looking for a solution. Are there places in our country where climbing anchors and equipment may be placed inappropriately? Sure! However, a sweeping change of this nature constitutes gross overkill. Instead of surgical, precise, local action to address the few places where climbing anchors and equipment may deserve to be removed, this new process would set sweeping standards that are unnecessarily rigorous; and where they lead to fixed anchors and equipment installed to protect climbers' safety being removed simply to comply with these standards, would cause unnecessary risk, injury, and death. I urge the USFS to reconsider this proposal. Thank you for providing the opportunity to comment.