

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 11/29/2023 6:57:49 PM

First name: Nathan

Last name: Holloway

Organization:

Title:

Comments: The draft guidance is overly vague and provides little criteria or left and right boundaries with regards to approvals or disapprovals for climbing and specifically use of permanent anchors in national parks. This sets up scenarios where a district ranger or other authorized representative could unilaterally make decisions based on their opinion alone with little to no recourse or objective criteria to disallow climbing, anchor placement, or replacement. It also potentially gives an outsized level of influence to small but vocal populations that desire closure of climbing areas that may complain regularly to NPS staff and to silence these complaints an area is closed to the larger, but less vocal climbers. In most areas fixed anchors are rarely ever noticed when not in use on the rock face. If there are trail access problems then these should be mitigated the same as any other trail use and land conservation/restoration efforts are. Approved and maintained trails would solve these problems and working with local nonprofits can ensure this is done in a responsible manner between NPS and the climbing community.