

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 11/28/2023 4:38:00 PM

First name: Zack

Last name: Boughton

Organization:

Title:

Comments: I am against the proposal that would almost triple use days for this region.

In the proposal you say that hunting is the number 1 use yet I see no consideration or work with MTFWP to establish how this added commercial pressure will impact herd dynamics and population.

We know that more and more people are moving to this region and crowding is a very real issue in this area. I'd like to know how you know what trailheads are busiest and what areas see the most pressure. Only an avid hunter would know this and as a hunter I know that hunting pressure is dependent upon weather and conditions which impact where animals live during hunting season.

I have yet to run into an outfitter out hunting who is an exceptional steward to the resource and treats the public well. They have stolen trail cameras and are very hostile to public hunters who hunt in and around "their areas".

I think only when MTFWP determines that herds are over objective and current hunting isn't managing it should we increase outfitter presence. Outfitting is a privileged, not a right.

Also, what is the average rate of success for outfitters in the area? If it's low then why would we increase use days? I saw no data on success for guided hunts and that's a metric every outfitter I know tracks.

Also we have fisheries in these areas that are in decline. The Bighole is seeing record low numbers of trout per mile, Rock Creek is busier than ever and pressure is hard to distribute there, and the Clark Fork still suffers from the long term effects of mining and livestock use in the area.

I would agree to increase recreational days for skiing/snowmobiling in areas that would not negatively impact wintering animals as there seems to be more room for this activity in these mountains.