

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 11/25/2023 4:32:32 AM

First name: Nathan

Last name: Liles

Organization:

Title:

Comments: I am a Lander, WY resident who recreates on the Bighorn NF regularly. I often climb in Tensleep Canyon, and I also value these NF lands as a hunter, fisherman, and general outdoorsperson.

I am concerned with the precedent for climbing closures with very unspecific language that can easily be interpreted to prohibit any new areas and close current ones. "Resource damage" is not well defined. We need more specificity here.

Best practices regarding the use of fixed-anchors for route establishment and replacement is not included. There should be guidance here, there are well established standards provided by organizations like the ASCA (American Safe Climbing Assoc.)

I am concerned that the CMP will only apply to Tensleep canyon itself and not the rest of the Bighorn NF. The potential for an infinite delay addressing a district-wide CMP essentially means a ban on development/new routing. This has the possibility of illegal route development occurring and conflicts that could be avoided with a reasonable route permitting system.

Lack of transparency for the new route development process is problematic. This should be a clearly defined process.

Resource Natural Areas - this has the potential to set precedent if there are other RNA's across the country that have climbing on them. It is very hard to find a comprehensive list or map to know if this impacts other climbing areas. We need some more transparency here also.

Thank you for your work to steward the Bighorns, and efforts to reasonably accommodate all user groups.

Nate Liles