

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 11/24/2023 6:18:10 AM

First name: Anon

Last name: Anon

Organization:

Title:

Comments: This proposal introduces unnecessary regulation straining already tight park service resources with additional responsibilities. Additionally, the language used is vague. How would this be standardized across the country? What would deem an appropriate fixed anchor? Leaving this up to individual parks systems is incredibly resource costly that each park will have to figure this out individually and introduces risk that it is done incorrectly.

If the underlying goal is sustainability and ecological impact, there are much better ways to control impact. This legislature seems to accomplish little, while introducing a substantial amount of useless costs and puts incredible climbing destinations at risk.

I STRONGLY oppose this proposal.