

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 11/14/2023 3:08:08 PM

First name: Adam

Last name: Cherni

Organization:

Title:

Comments: Future Route Development: I think there should be a ratio of approximate number of routes that can be climbed in an area based upon how many people you can park. ex: the canyon has approx 1300 routes currently, can it handle to park 600 cars? 300 cars? Tensleep canyon could easily host 5000 routes just based on the high quality rock. Does that mean it should be allowed? Adding a parking area in the mondo beyond area is a great start to creating more space for users but that's only a portion of the canyon that is getting over used. What about parking on old road? Below the switchbacks?

How many developers can be active in your plan? Can one person develop 1, 5, 20, 50, 100 routes per season? Will there be any photo documentation needed prior and after development?

Will the routes be removed if the developer uses "not best practices"? Would that developer be no longer allowed to develop?

Parking, Highway and Road Safety-Cause: The problem is there are more climbers than parking spaces in the canyon. that's not including the tourists or hunters or other users in the canyon. solution: a \$10 day parking pass for the season. all proceeds go towards future growth and management of parking in canyon. By dividing existing parking spaces for day parking and 1 hour visitor parking that would still leave spots open for tourists who just want to stop and take photos and soak in the views. also give space to climbers and hunters to park for the day. place signage directing on how to park as you enter the ten sleep area to tell motorists before entering that part of canyon. that way when they enter the canyon they know how to park and maximize spaces and how many vehicles are able to fit in all areas.

Trails and Climbing Access - in a new area of development where there is no existing trail, will USFS be responsible to create and maintain a trail to that new wall? Would that be on the developer to create the trail?

Leigh Creek Research Natural Area - I have concerns with the proposed closure. With proper trail we can reduce the impact on plants. with birds or animals we can have seasonal closures. with respect and signage about the sensitivity of that area I think we can leave the area open for climbing. Also, if you decide to close the area to climbing how do you plan to remove these routes?

Camping - cause: the free camping is being grossly abused on the old road. the human waste and crowding are my two biggest concerns. Solution: I think numbering the spots on old road and having fees to camp that close to the crag. if they want free camping then they can drive up canyon to many options of free dispersed camping spots

My comments: Upon years of discussion and thoughts, I think the current day hunting model that has been in place for years can provide guidance on conservation and financial issues regarding the climbing management plan. ex: my great grandpa used to go fishing without a license. my grandpa used to complain about buying a fishing license, saying the government is taking my fish away. my dad did not complain, he saw the value in what that fishing license provided him and his sons future. Now to me, I'm very happy to purchase hunting and fishing licenses and have been doing it all my life.

With all that said I think capturing climbers money and re investing it in the canyon so they can continue to enjoy the resource that they love should be a direction we consider traveling.