

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 11/10/2023 2:55:19 AM

First name: Grace

Last name: Walhus

Organization:

Title:

Comments: I largely support this management plan in its current state. It strikes a good balance between allowing climbing to develop, while clearly making the protection of natural and cultural resources a main priority. My primary concern with this plan is the installation of four bathrooms in this relatively small area. I do understand and agree that human waste is a HUGE issue on public lands and I do support the installation of bathrooms in general, but the upkeep and maintenance of bathrooms adds up and is time consuming. (This is coming from the perspective of someone that has worked for the Forest Service in recreation and has cleaned numerous bathrooms.) I believe that the proposed bathroom in the parking lot, and the farthest bathroom at the beginning of the canyon would suffice, and would not become an overwhelming task to deal with. These bathrooms would be heavily used, not only by climbers, and to clean four of them thoroughly and frequently would take a good chunk of time every week from your staff. It is time that they could be spending managing recreation, rather than cleaning bathrooms.

Another concern with bathroom installation is that it will inevitably lead to more development in the future. Recreation will be on the rise for years to come, and these bathrooms and the parking lot are good for catering to this rise. However, it must be acknowledged that this development will hugely alter where Tensleep Canyon lies on the Recreation Opportunity Spectrum. People will recognize this development, and think that trash services would be nice, or more parking lots should be made, as examples. Developing/"urbanizing" the canyon is not an inherently bad thing, but will surely take the canyon in a new direction. We must reflect and ask ourselves if this is the direction we want to see the canyon go towards.

Thank you.