

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 10/30/2023 7:42:56 PM

First name: Diane

Last name: Thompson

Organization:

Title:

Comments: Out of all of the Forest Service's "Recommended Action Options," I advocate that Alternative D is selected by the Forest Service for categories A - H. The reasons are simple:

A. Wilderness. According to David Attenborough's documentary "A Life on the Planet," in 1937 66% of the world was wilderness. In 2020 35% of the world was wilderness. 15,000,000,000 Billion trees around the globe are cut every year. David encourages "Rewild the world and restore biodiversity." The Revised GMUG can be part of the solution--not part of the problem. The wilderness area in our region is shrinking and must be protected for the sake of not disturbing the migration routes of wildlife, the tourist industry, recreation, the scenic value, clean water flows and carbon sequestration that forests provide, slowing climate change effects. 46,000 acres is inadequate, even though it includes the Mt Sneffels range area that the CORE ACT promoted for years.

B.- D. Timber Production, Timber Sale Production, Harvest Acres per Year. The Citizens Coalitions recommendations in their respective areas are not addressed. Economy -- not Ecology -- seems to be the driving factor for these timber categories. Montrose Forest Products could not make the dead trees profitable. Now, instead of logging dead or dying trees to reduce fire danger while helping the local economy, the Forest Service is using SBEADMR'S new "CONDITION BASED MANAGEMENT" that authorizes loggers to remove healthy, living spruce-fir and aspen trees. The Forest Service's Preferred Alternative runs contrary to the Biden administration's recent executive order to identify, protect and conserve old growth and mature forests.

E. - H. the Forest Service should prioritize the citizen's coalitions environmental priorities and issues in their respective areas instead of their economic concerns. The Forest Service should lobby harder for more federal financial support in order to do their most important work. (I know. It's difficult.) It's about seeing the big picture rather than short term results.

Allowing so much grazing cattle is unsustainable. Supporting one of the largest grazing programs also supports polluted water flows, decreased carbon sequestration in trees, decreased alpine tree areas because cows trample new shoots, increased methane. Is Climate Change really your priority?