

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 9/2/2023 12:29:01 PM

First name: Mark

Last name: Belles

Organization:

Title:

Comments: Please consider my objection to the decision to select Alternative B for the GMUG Forest Plan Revision. Alternative D should be chosen for the following reasons.

1. The recommended wilderness for Alternative D is far more supportive of President Biden's 30 by 30 goals.
2. Alternative D implements the proposed Special Management Areas.

Additionally, and regardless of which Alternative is selected, the Forest Management Plan should require an detailed and broad scope Grazing Management Plan for the entire Forest. I understand this would require a stand-alone NEPA process. Volumes 1 and 2 of the GMUG Final Environmental Impact Statement for the Revised Land Management Plan mention grazing a total of 440 times. It is clear that grazing is a contentious and important issue. A Grazing Managements Plan should address a broad range of grazing issues including,

1. Retirement of allotments based on range conditions,
2. Competitive pricing, so as not to undercut private grazing leases,
3. Competitive bidding on allotments to allow environmental "no grazing" purchases.

Thank you for considering my views.