

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 8/27/2023 6:21:23 PM

First name: Stephen

Last name: Dorsett

Organization:

Title:

Comments: I am writing in opposition to this proposal based on several issues, including:

*Size of the proposal/No EA: This is a significant logging operation with no Environmental Assessment. This means you will be blind to any impacts the logging may incur. The consequence is the same as the previous times that these area have been logged, in which the intended result was not achieved.

*Further degradation of the public lands: These lands have been logged with negative impacts in the past including a loss of biodiversity, a lack of intended (not necessarily desired) regeneration of Oak trees, and the creation of even-aged trees.

*Does not meet the intent of EO14072: This project, especially with the lack of an EA, does not meet the intent of EO14072, which directs the USFS to "manage forests on Federal lands, which include many mature and old-growth forests, to promote their continued health and resilience; retain and enhance carbon storage; conserve biodiversity; mitigate the risk of wildfires; enhance climate resilience; enable subsistence and cultural uses; provide outdoor recreational opportunities; and promote sustainable local economic development. Mature and Old growth areas a negatively impacted by logging on adjacent lands, and further fragments the forest.

*Proximity to the Sheltopee Trail. The Trail would be damaged by the proximity of the logging operation