

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 8/22/2023 5:17:19 PM

First name: George

Last name: Wuerthner

Organization:

Title:

Comments: I have visited the High Uintas quite a few times, and it's a magnificent landscape. The only negative is the widespread impacts of domestic livestock. While domestic livestock grazing is permitted in designated wilderness, it is not required. Removing domestic livestock would benefit the wilderness landscape.

The basic issue is what has the greatest benefit for the public.

Domestic livestock pollutes water, compacts soils, spreads weeds, socially displaces native wildlife, transfer disease to wildlife (as with bighorn sheep), damages riparian areas, and in some cases native predators are killed to facilitate the use of public land for private profit.

I support the no grazing alternative.