

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 5/22/2023 1:36:43 PM

First name: Claire

Last name: Cote

Organization: Localogy

Title: LEAP Director

Comments: I strongly feel that the proposed expansions and renovations by TSV must be analyzed with an Environmental Impact Statement that includes alternatives to the Proposed Action based on input received from public comments. The range of alternatives should include alternatives without the base-to-base gondola and without the water tank and booster station. This is essential!

This is another deep concern that I have: The water rights assumption in the EA is incorrect. The EIS should consider Taos Ski Valley's proposals in light of the resort's actual water rights of 21.45 acre-feet, which is limited to 0.11 acre-feet of daily use allowed between April 11th and October 25th. The EIS should analyze an alternative that places TSVI's potential water usage in context of the resort's allocated water rights.

As an avid hiker myself, environmental educator and mother who lives to take my own kids into the Wilderness, through this access point, I have another concern. The EA fails to disclose impacts to public lands access. The EIS must consider how the gondola will affect public access to the Williams Lake trailhead. This analysis must include consideration of winter access.

Given the likely negative impacts to Wilderness access the Forest Service should deny the base-to-base gondola.

And last, but definitely not least, The Forest Service should hold off on considering the 5 million gallon water tank, booster station, and new restaurant until the Village of Taos Ski Valley's water infrastructure is stabilized. Thank you for taking my comments into consideration!