

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 5/21/2023 11:46:00 PM

First name: Sofia

Last name: Greenberg

Organization:

Title:

Comments: I grew up in Arroyo Seco and want to contribute here. Since they've said it better than I can, I'll just reiterate here:

This project must be analyzed with an Environmental Impact Statement that includes alternatives to the Proposed Action based on input received from public comments. The range of alternatives should include alternatives without the base-to-base gondola and without the water tank and booster station.

The water rights assumption in the EA is incorrect. The EIS should consider Taos Ski Valley's proposals in light of the resort's actual water rights of 21.45 acre-feet, which is limited to 0.11 acre-feet of daily use allowed between April 11th and October 25th. The EIS should analyze an alternative that places TSVI's potential water usage in context of the resort's allocated water rights.

The EA fails to disclose impacts to public lands access. The EIS must consider how the gondola will affect public access to the Williams Lake trailhead. This analysis must include consideration of winter access.

Given the likely negative impacts to Wilderness access the Forest Service should deny the base-to-base gondola.

The Forest Service should hold off on considering the 5 million gallon water tank, booster station, and new restaurant until the Village of Taos Ski Valley's water infrastructure is stabilized.