

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 5/17/2023 10:24:49 PM

First name: Nora

Last name: Herrera Oest

Organization:

Title:

Comments: What is your definition of better not bigger? The proposed expansion should take into account the totality of the impact that Taos Ski Valley has. It is not isolated to this one specific area in discussion, but goes hand in hand with the overall footprint of the TSV.

You say the proposed Gondola, etc. project is in the public's benefit. What is your definition of public? I don't think you give much weight to the people who actually live downstream on or near the river or the people who rely on the Rio Hondo for irrigation.

Who keeps track of skier capacity? Who keeps these numbers and how do we know they're being kept at correct levels?

What water source would be used to store in proposed water tank, and wasn't there an agreement for water Not to be stored at TSV?

What happened to the water that was "borrowed" a few years back for making snow? Was it ever returned to the stream?

All water at TSV should be monitored just like all acequias on the Rio Hondo are to determine the amount of water TSV should even be using. Their water use and proposed withholding of water affects not only the participants of the Rio Hondo but All participants of the Rio Grande, including Santa Fe, Albuquerque, continuing all the way to Texas and Mexico.

Is highway 150 rated to allow heavy semi trucks to use it considering the damage done to the road all the way up to TSV?

You say multiple comments on the same subject only count as one. That is ridiculous. If you have say 10,000 people protesting one aspect of these expansions it doesn't seem right or fair for all those voices to be counting as a mere one.

I would say your premise is flawed and I for one oppose those project.