

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 5/3/2023 11:43:20 PM

First name: Don

Last name: Dillinger

Organization: Green Snohomish

Title: Chair

Comments: This logging proposal is motivated by what appears to be spurious arguments regarding fire prevention that are not supported by peer-reviewed scientific studies, and instead is motivated by an unhealthy pro-logging, pro-industrial bias. The results will be reduced carbon capture by mature/old growth trees, aggressive machine damage to sensitive forest topsoils, increased CO2 production by heavy machinery fuel consumption, etc, and will not meaningfully reduce wildfire risk in the affected basin.

We need to start looking at this problem a little differently. Wildfires CANNOT be prevented by any kind of logging, and we need to protect old growth mature multispecies forests. Aggressive logging should be limited to smaller, monoculture stands which will not result in loss of apex species forest ecosystems.