

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 4/18/2023 10:06:03 PM

First name: Frank

Last name: Konsella

Organization:

Title:

Comments: Hello, thank you for the opportunity to comment on North Valley Trails #61177.

I support option #2 for the Lake Irwin parallel trail. This is a busy area so getting trail users off the road is a great idea. Additionally, I do not support the decommissioning of the Wagon trail.

I support option #1 for upper upper to Brush Creek. Getting the trail farther off the road will be more enjoyable than option #2, closer to the road. User created trails are more likely with option #2 as well.

Strand bonus to 409: I support option #1, I can't think of any reasons why these trails shouldn't be connected via a new trail. This area is already heavily used by cows, so a sustainably built trail will likely reduce the number of cow created trails in this meadow.

Budd Connection: Option 1. Connecting the camping area to area trails with the help of a willing landowner makes a ton of sense.

Teocalli Extension: Option 2. Since a user created trail already exists, it seems like a good idea to integrate it to the trail system since users have already shown a need for a trail in this location.

Reno Divide trail: Option 1.

Upper Cement Creek to Crystal: Option 1. This is a hugely important piece of the proposal. Connecting the upper cement trail to crystal will take non motorized users off of a road with heavy motorized traffic that is often in poor shape.

Lower Cement Creek to Caves: Option 1. The cement creek trails continue to grow and are highly popular. This extension would make the trail system more easily accessible from a popular trailhead, and immediately get users off of the extremely busy Cement Creek road.

Bear Creek reroute: Option 2. This section of trail is always a mess. There has to be a better trail alignment and this option would allow that.

Doctor's reroute: Option 1. Of all the popular trails in the valley, this section of trail is probably the most poorly placed and least sustainable trail I can think of. Unless it's been dry for weeks, it tends to be a muddy mess. Even after a substantial amount of work was done to the trail ~15 years ago, it's no better than it was. Allowing a new trail with much better placement seems to make more sense than continuing to allow a muddy mess of a trail.