

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 3/13/2023 4:54:32 PM

First name: Ignacio

Last name: Coviella

Organization:

Title:

Comments: After reading the detailed version of the Telephone Gap Integrated Resource Project (TGIRP) I find myself at odds with what the Forest Service (FS) is proposing.

Under PURPOSE AND NEED FOR ACTION (why are management actions needed?) Section (2), it starts with "Forest Habitat" (2.1), the very one is proposing to destroy in section "Timber Resources" (2.2), clearly the main purpose of this project, logging.

Next Section, PROPOSED ACTION (what management actions are proposed?) (3), logging ("Timber Resources") is brought up as point one; though, this time mixed together with "Forest Habitat" in (3.1)".

The rest of the purposes and proposed actions addressing soils, wetlands, recreation, visual quality, etc., are, if not subjective, arguably, well intentioned arguments trying to dilute the extent of the consequences that could result from the main point proposed: logging a considerable portion of our amazing Green Mountain National Forest (GMNF).

"Mixing logging activities in with projects that would otherwise be cleanly focused on ecological objectives results in projects that often do more harm than good as well as projects that would otherwise be unnecessary" (Center for Sustainable Economy). You don't protect habitats by destroying them, and when you do, you do at scale and for good, scientifically proven, reasons (i.e., no money involved).

Although they are good reasons to consider that timber harvesting supports a portion of regional and local economies, it should not be at the expense of public tax money and the destruction of what it is left of a public and important ecosystem (GMNF), specially, at a time of increasing global warming and its devastating effects.