Data Submitted (UTC 11): 3/10/2023 4:04:47 PM

First name: Bruce & Druce & Sharon

Last name: Ediger Organization:

Title:

Comments: I oppose the changes to dispersed camping along CR 162 & Dry ; 292 for the following reasons.

The fire and camping restrictions that are in place now are not being followed or enforced, so loosening the restrictions will only make matters worse. CR 162 & Descriptions will only make matters worse. CR 162 & Descriptions will only make this problem worse. There are two communities in Chalk Creek Canyons above the cascades and this is a dead end canyon unless you have a 4-wheel drive vehicle. So in case of fire or other emergencies, having additional parking will restriction emergency access in or out of the canyon. Also, allowing more camping with or without firrestrictions will only add to more fire danger, because rules are not followed or enforced. I think there should be NO CAMPING OR FIRES BELOW ST ELMO except in established campgrounds with camp hosts. A fire in Chalk Creek Canyon would be a great danger to all that are trapped above the fire. Crowded parking along CR 162 & Descriptions are the disaster to all trying to exit or enter the canyon during a fire. AGAIN, THE RULES FOR NO CAMPING OR FIRES BELOW ST ELMO ARE NOT FOLLOWED OR ENFORCED NOW, SO HOW CAN DISPERSED CAMPING SPOTS HELP THE SITUATION.