

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 2/5/2023 8:51:43 PM

First name: Nathaniel & Karen

Last name: Trigg

Organization:

Title:

Comments: After attending the open house and reviewing the proposed options, it is clear that the proposed improvements have limited value to the local user. Any partnering with outside enterprise will benefit the enterprise selected and limit the increased access to those willing to pay for that access. Full analysis on the cost to use the proposed improvements has not been completed and estimates are likely on the lower end. \$200 for a family of 4 to access an advanced visitor center is not viable for a local user. If we are going to chase the glacier and increase access, we need to be developing access that is available to all and not limited a small fraction of users. Further development of the current area should be limited to reducing congestion with remote bus parking or similar options. Adding amenities such as a cafe and new welcome center will negatively impact wildlife and the natural experience, without significantly improving accessibility and viewing. The glacier is the attraction, and improvements to access should be focused on a long term plan that values this resource and public accessibility. A new dedicated western access route that avoids current residential areas is likely the only viable long term option that is expandable to follow a receding glacier.