

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 1/17/2023 7:00:00 AM

First name: Richard

Last name: Himmelstein

Organization:

Title:

Comments: The ToB states the bathrooms are on USFS land and the USFS states that the bathrooms are on private land. Something is very amiss.

Attached is the USFS scoping notice for your ready reference. Comments will be accepted at any time, but are preferred submitted by February 2, 2023. Accordingly, I have looped in the Forest Service on this email string.

In said USFS scoping notice, it expressly states that the bathrooms are on private land:

RELATED PROJECTS ON PRIVATE LANDS

Separate from the Proposed Action, other projects part of the 2022 MDP are planned on private lands adjacent to the proposed lift. This includes future reconfiguration of the Peak 8 learning area. This area, directly to the southeast of the proposed lift, would include improved teaching terrain and carpets intended to minimize on-mountain congestion and maximize the beginner friendliness of the terrain. The teaching terrain would be located southeast of the bottom terminal, near the base of One Ski Hill Place. A small children's restroom would also be constructed adjacent to the teaching area and proposed bottom terminal (Emphasis Applied"). Skiers ready to "graduate" from the teaching terrain to beginner terrain would ultimately be in close proximity to ride the proposed lift. The existing Activity Center building in this area would need to be removed, and stairs connecting the teaching terrain area to the Gold Runner Coaster, as well as a retaining wall on the east-facing slope, would need to be installed. The replacement of the Rip's Ride lift, which is currently being completed and will be operational for the 2022-2023 season, is further anticipated to improve skier circulation through enhanced loading and uphill capacity.

We both know that the USFS would not desire to have a building with bathrooms if there was a preferred location on private land (which there is in this case: next to the GoldRunner coaster). Nor would the USFS support extensive unnecessary grading.

Further, attached is an aerial view (screen print from the Summit County website) and the recording of Amendment to Peak 8 Subdivision Filing No. 1. As noted, in the arial, the proposed bathrooms, if on USFS land, would have to be located above the former Kids yurt. Accordingly, the location of the bathrooms appears to be on private land within the ToB boundaries.

Did Vail Resorts provide a topographical map @ 2' contours of the entire area. Very concerned about drainage and flooding if they intend to excavate the bathrooms to the height of the plaza area. Also concerned about expanding the entire base of the Ski Resort to accommodate the proposed location of the bathrooms.

Does the ToB support all of this unnecessary excavation impacting numerous issues (when the bathrooms provide better functionality and use by the GoldRunner coaster?