

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 1/13/2023 12:40:57 AM

First name: Ed

Last name: Schoenfeld

Organization:

Title:

Comments: The glacier development alternatives website is incomprehensible. I'm pretty good at following such documents and am viewing them on a laptop with a normal-size screen.

I can't find clear maps of the alternatives or anything else that takes me where I need to go. Really? This looks like an internal document for staff who already know the material.

I can't give specific comments, because you do not provide usable information. But some general comments:

The area certainly needs expended facilities to try to meet demand. But they should preserve the glacier vicinity as a natural area. That means only quiet, electric boats and low-profile buildings. Visitors, tourists and locals, should be able to still view bears and other wildlife.

Most trails should remain unpaved. Bus parking should be closer to the residential area of the valley. Priority should be given to electric and other low-emission buses and other tour vehicles, to incentivize companies to reduce pollution.

A second visitor center would be OK if it's low-profile and doesn't ruin other users' experience.

At some point, the Forest Service should be willing to limit bus access to keep the numbers reasonable. Not everyone can view everything in Alaska. National park entries are limited to avoid bad overcrowding and the Forest Service can do that too.

Thank you for your time. And please, make your website more usable!