

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 1/10/2023 10:15:20 PM

First name: Michelle

Last name: Groenevelt

Organization:

Title:

Comments: Dear Linda Jackson.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the SDEIS for the Stibnite Gold Project.

I would like the Forest Service to reject the proposed mine and choose the No Action Alternative.

The SDEIS outlines the hazardous materials for mining operations in Table ES-1. More than 3000 loads of hazardous material will be moved to and from Stibnite per year - 15 years of operation equals 45000+ loads! \*9,400,000 gallons of hazardous bulk liquids will be transported to Stibnite annually - 15 years of operation equals 141,000,000 gallons.

\*More than 46,000 tons of hazardous bulk solids will move to and from Stibnite - again, 15 years of operation equals 690,000+ tons.

Hazardous materials include fuels, acids, explosives, cyanide, lime, ammonium nitrate, antimony concentrate and other toxic materials. All materials are highly toxic to humans and fish.

Why are there no project-specific spill risk calculations for numbers of spills, and spill probability, in the SDEIS? (SDEIS 4-345) Why was Highway 55, through Boise, McCall, and New Meadows, nor Highway 95 north and south, not considered in any transportation analysis? (SDEIS 5-34).

I am also very concerned that the SDEIS does not address climate change impacts. This seems critical to the SDEIS. I urge the Forest Service to reject the proposed mine and choose the No Action Alternative.

Thank you,

Michelle Groenevelt

Resident