

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 1/10/2023 3:04:06 AM

First name: Julia

Last name: Page

Organization: retired

Title:

Comments: Please choose the No Action alternative.

Stibnite Gold Project needs to clarify, discuss, evaluate, respond to the public and agency concerns and resubmit a proposal that protects clean cold water for fish in the South Fork Salmon and its tribes. It fails to do that now. That is illegal. Requirements for chemistry and temperature need to be improved. There is more.

Duplicate roads? Surely there's a better way. Truck traffic, some carrying hazardous loads, will be frequent. There will be spills. Are plans in place? For all those crossings? Beyond two roads to the project, where are the alternatives for the kind of mining? What about underground mining? Way less surface area ground up and spit out. Isn't that better environmentally?

At the end of the day, what financial assurance does the public have that company stays with mine through remediation and closure. What are the estimated costs for cleanup, rehab and closure? Those figures should be available for the public to consider before this project is decided on.