

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 1/8/2023 6:57:35 PM

First name: vincent

Last name: cipolla

Organization:

Title:

Comments: To Whom it may Concern,

I am writing to express comments regarding the Stibnite Gold Project of Perpetua Resources. I believe it is wrong to permit the project as it is presented.

The updated plan by Perpetua Resources does not update the environmental impact nor has the long term impact of the operation been fully assessed.

The Project proposes diverting surface water to pipes without regard or assessment to the obvious impacts on the native flora and fauna both locally and at a distance. No study has been cited with respect to the effect of the acidification of the water and its' environmental impact in both the short and long term. There is inadequate evaluation of the effects of heavy metals and toxins , such as mercury and cyanide, that would be a byproduct of the mining.

Perpetua Resources proposes that the best way to clean up the legacy related environmental impacts of mining in the area is to do more mining. According to the Environmental Protection Agency open pit mining creates 45 times the amount of waste as other forms of mining. It seems a stretch to believe that creating a lot more waste somehow enables one to clean up legacy waste.

By the United States government's own studies and admission the effect on both the salmon and the bull head trout populations will be significant with population reductions of between the high twenties and low seventies percent, severely impacting both the species, the animals that depend on the fish as a food source and the way of life of local peoples.

It is not just having an understanding of what the project will do to the environment but also having a firm grasp and plan of how to deal with those impacts and prevent a new legacy of waste and deleterious environmental impacts.

As presently proposed the Stibnite Gold Project does not appear to adequately address these concerns.