

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 1/6/2023 2:49:22 PM

First name: Robert

Last name: Havrilla

Organization:

Title:

Comments: Dear Sirs:

In order to afford the protections of the Wilderness Act of 1964, it is necessary act reasonably with regards to the defined boundary of a wilderness area. This, the proposed reopening of the mine must not be approved because that would cause inevitable and unacceptable deleterious impacts upon the Church wilderness area. Besides that the water use by the mine is completely unacceptable for that particular region and would have unacceptable impacts on the sports to preserve the wildlife (I.e., salmon) in the immediate area. Furthermore, I request that you consider the following comments as well:

The Stibnite Gold Project puts not only the famed Frank Church-River of No Return Wilderness at risk, but also the East Fork of the South Fork of the Salmon River and endangered species such as salmon, steelhead, and bull trout.

Under the preferred alternative, road construction and mining operations would cause serious impacts to the River of No Return Wilderness, including noise audible from a nearly 2-mile radius, wildlife disturbance and displacement, increased access to and activity in what are now quiet and lightly-visited places in the Wilderness, and sediment pollution in streams within the Wilderness.

The wilderness evaluation erroneously downplays these impacts.

The new proposed road would sit 100 feet from the wilderness boundary or could even enter the Wilderness, as the most logical location for the road seems to be an existing pack trail that dips into the Wilderness.

The last thing this area needs is more toxic mining and associated impacts.

The Forest Service needs to deny the permit for Perpetua Resource's Stibnite Gold Project and require Perpetua to finish cleaning up the mine site.

Thank you for the consideration of my comments.

Robert Havrilla