

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 1/4/2023 11:02:41 PM

First name: Richard

Last name: Rymerson

Organization:

Title:

Comments: SGP Email Comments 1A

Ms. Jackson:

I am interested in the proposed Stibnite Gold Project (SGP), and I intend to comment on the Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement (SDEIS). In advance of the release of the SDEIS, I'm writing to request that the public comment period be extended beyond the minimum 45-day comment period for an additional 75 days to allow for a total of 120 days for public review.

The Stibnite Gold Project SDEIS is an extraordinarily complex project for the public to review and warrants additional time to do so. The fact that a supplemental report is even warranted highlights the fact that this project is extremely complex and has undergone major changes since the release of the DEIS and should under no circumstances be rushed forward. The project's operational boundary, which sits directly on top of the headwaters of the South Fork Salmon River, is extremely problematic and thus requires heightened scrutiny.

Additionally, the proposed mine is highly controversial and has the potential to significantly impact resources of high public interest, including ESA-listed fish, the Frank Church River of No Return Wilderness, rivers that are eligible for Wild and Scenic River designation, Roadless Areas, and extensive ecological, cultural, and recreational resources. The Forest Service has allowed longer comment periods for similarly sized mining proposals that did not involve the same proximity to wilderness areas or threaten ESA-listed anadromous fish.

A cornerstone of the National Environmental Policy Act is to facilitate public involvement in agency decision-making. Any comment period short of 120 days is a clear obstacle to public involvement and will only add to the deep controversy that this project already carries. If the Forest Service needed significant additional time to analyze the project, the public absolutely needs a 120-day comment period to review that analysis.

The timing of this release runs headlong into a gauntlet of both federal holidays and holidays deeply rooted in our nation's traditions, especially the religious holy days throughout December and early January. I hope you will consider this appeal to extend the comment period for the Stibnite Gold Project and allow the public ample time to have their voices heard.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Michael Bone

11/17/2022

Ms. Jackson:

I am interested in the proposed Stibnite Gold Project (SGP), and I intend to comment on the Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement (SDEIS). In advance of the release of the SDEIS, I'm writing to request that the

public comment period be extended beyond the minimum 45-day comment period for an additional 75 days to allow for a total of 120 days for public review.

The Stibnite Gold Project SDEIS is an extraordinarily complex project for the public to review and warrants additional time to do so. The fact that a supplemental report is even warranted highlights the fact that this project is extremely complex and has undergone major changes since the release of the DEIS and should under no circumstances be rushed forward. The project's operational boundary, which sits directly on top of the headwaters of the South Fork Salmon River, is extremely problematic and thus requires heightened scrutiny.

Additionally, the proposed mine is highly controversial and has the potential to significantly impact resources of high public interest, including ESA-listed fish, the Frank Church River of No Return Wilderness, rivers that are eligible for Wild and Scenic River designation, Roadless Areas, and extensive ecological, cultural, and recreational resources. The Forest Service has allowed longer comment periods for similarly sized mining proposals that did not involve the same proximity to wilderness areas or threaten ESA-listed anadromous fish.

A cornerstone of the National Environmental Policy Act is to facilitate public involvement in agency decision-making. Any comment period short of 120 days is a clear obstacle to public involvement and will only add to the deep controversy that this project already carries. If the Forest Service needed significant additional time to analyze the project, the public absolutely needs a 120-day comment period to review that analysis.

The timing of this release runs headlong into a gauntlet of both federal holidays and holidays deeply rooted in our nation's traditions, especially the religious holy days throughout December and early January. I hope you will consider this appeal to extend the comment period for the Stibnite Gold Project and allow the public ample time to have their voices heard.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Ildi White

11/16/2022

Ms. Jackson:

I am interested in the proposed Stibnite Gold Project (SGP), and I intend to comment on the Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement (SDEIS). In advance of the release of the SDEIS, I'm writing to request that the public comment period be extended beyond the minimum 45-day comment period for an additional 75 days to allow for a total of 120 days for public review.

The Stibnite Gold Project SDEIS is an extraordinarily complex project for the public to review and warrants additional time to do so. The fact that a supplemental report is even warranted highlights the fact that this project is extremely complex and has undergone major changes since the release of the DEIS and should under no circumstances be rushed forward. The project's operational boundary, which sits directly on top of the headwaters of the South Fork Salmon River, is extremely problematic and thus requires heightened scrutiny.

Additionally, the proposed mine is highly controversial and has the potential to significantly impact resources of high public interest, including ESA-listed fish, the Frank Church River of No Return Wilderness, rivers that are

eligible for Wild and Scenic River designation, Roadless Areas, and extensive ecological, cultural, and recreational resources. The Forest Service has allowed longer comment periods for similarly sized mining proposals that did not involve the same proximity to wilderness areas or threaten ESA-listed anadromous fish.

A cornerstone of the National Environmental Policy Act is to facilitate public involvement in agency decision-making. Any comment period short of 120 days is a clear obstacle to public involvement and will only add to the deep controversy that this project already carries. If the Forest Service needed significant additional time to analyze the project, the public absolutely needs a 120-day comment period to review that analysis.

The timing of this release runs headlong into a gauntlet of both federal holidays and holidays deeply rooted in our nation's traditions, especially the religious holy days throughout December and early January. I hope you will consider this appeal to extend the comment period for the Stibnite Gold Project and allow the public ample time to have their voices heard.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Austin Charvet
11/16/2022

Ms. Jackson:

I am interested in the proposed Stibnite Gold Project (SGP), and I intend to comment on the Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement (SDEIS). In advance of the release of the SDEIS, I'm writing to request that the public comment period be extended beyond the minimum 45-day comment period for an additional 75 days to allow for a total of 120 days for public review.

The Stibnite Gold Project SDEIS is an extraordinarily complex project for the public to review and warrants additional time to do so. The fact that a supplemental report is even warranted highlights the fact that this project is extremely complex and has undergone major changes since the release of the DEIS and should under no circumstances be rushed forward. The project's operational boundary, which sits directly on top of the headwaters of the South Fork Salmon River, is extremely problematic and thus requires heightened scrutiny.

Additionally, the proposed mine is highly controversial and has the potential to significantly impact resources of high public interest, including ESA-listed fish, the Frank Church River of No Return Wilderness, rivers that are eligible for Wild and Scenic River designation, Roadless Areas, and extensive ecological, cultural, and recreational resources. The Forest Service has allowed longer comment periods for similarly sized mining proposals that did not involve the same proximity to wilderness areas or threaten ESA-listed anadromous fish.

A cornerstone of the National Environmental Policy Act is to facilitate public involvement in agency decision-making. Any comment period short of 120 days is a clear obstacle to public involvement and will only add to the deep controversy that this project already carries. If the Forest Service needed significant additional time to analyze the project, the public absolutely needs a 120-day comment period to review that analysis.

The timing of this release runs headlong into a gauntlet of both federal holidays and holidays deeply rooted in our nation's traditions, especially the religious holy days throughout December and early January. I hope you will consider this appeal to extend the comment period for the Stibnite Gold Project and allow the public ample time

to have their voices heard.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Jen Racicot

11/16/2022

Ms. Jackson:

I am interested in the proposed Stibnite Gold Project (SGP), and I intend to comment on the Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement (SDEIS). In advance of the release of the SDEIS, I'm writing to request that the public comment period be extended beyond the minimum 45-day comment period for an additional 75 days to allow for a total of 120 days for public review.

The Stibnite Gold Project SDEIS is an extraordinarily complex project for the public to review and warrants additional time to do so. The fact that a supplemental report is even warranted highlights the fact that this project is extremely complex and has undergone major changes since the release of the DEIS and should under no circumstances be rushed forward. The project's operational boundary, which sits directly on top of the headwaters of the South Fork Salmon River, is extremely problematic and thus requires heightened scrutiny.

Additionally, the proposed mine is highly controversial and has the potential to significantly impact resources of high public interest, including ESA-listed fish, the Frank Church River of No Return Wilderness, rivers that are eligible for Wild and Scenic River designation, Roadless Areas, and extensive ecological, cultural, and recreational resources. The Forest Service has allowed longer comment periods for similarly sized mining proposals that did not involve the same proximity to wilderness areas or threaten ESA-listed anadromous fish.

A cornerstone of the National Environmental Policy Act is to facilitate public involvement in agency decision-making. Any comment period short of 120 days is a clear obstacle to public involvement and will only add to the deep controversy that this project already carries. If the Forest Service needed significant additional time to analyze the project, the public absolutely needs a 120-day comment period to review that analysis.

The timing of this release runs headlong into a gauntlet of both federal holidays and holidays deeply rooted in our nation's traditions, especially the religious holy days throughout December and early January. I hope you will consider this appeal to extend the comment period for the Stibnite Gold Project and allow the public ample time to have their voices heard.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Mallory Koula

11/16/2022

Ms. Jackson:

I am interested in the proposed Stibnite Gold Project (SGP), and I intend to comment on the Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement (SDEIS). In advance of the release of the SDEIS, I'm writing to request that the public comment period be extended beyond the minimum 45-day comment period for an additional 75 days to allow for a total of 120 days for public review.

The Stibnite Gold Project SDEIS is an extraordinarily complex project for the public to review and warrants additional time to do so. The fact that a supplemental report is even warranted highlights the fact that this project is extremely complex and has undergone major changes since the release of the DEIS and should under no circumstances be rushed forward. The project's operational boundary, which sits directly on top of the headwaters of the South Fork Salmon River, is extremely problematic and thus requires heightened scrutiny.

Additionally, the proposed mine is highly controversial and has the potential to significantly impact resources of high public interest, including ESA-listed fish, the Frank Church River of No Return Wilderness, rivers that are eligible for Wild and Scenic River designation, Roadless Areas, and extensive ecological, cultural, and recreational resources. The Forest Service has allowed longer comment periods for similarly sized mining proposals that did not involve the same proximity to wilderness areas or threaten ESA-listed anadromous fish.

A cornerstone of the National Environmental Policy Act is to facilitate public involvement in agency decision-making. Any comment period short of 120 days is a clear obstacle to public involvement and will only add to the deep controversy that this project already carries. If the Forest Service needed significant additional time to analyze the project, the public absolutely needs a 120-day comment period to review that analysis.

The timing of this release runs headlong into a gauntlet of both federal holidays and holidays deeply rooted in our nation's traditions, especially the religious holy days throughout December and early January. I hope you will consider this appeal to extend the comment period for the Stibnite Gold Project and allow the public ample time to have their voices heard.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Brianna Dostie

11/16/2022

Ms. Jackson:

I am interested in the proposed Stibnite Gold Project (SGP), and I intend to comment on the Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement (SDEIS). In advance of the release of the SDEIS, I'm writing to request that the public comment period be extended beyond the minimum 45-day comment period for an additional 75 days to allow for a total of 120 days for public review.

The Stibnite Gold Project SDEIS is an extraordinarily complex project for the public to review and warrants additional time to do so. The fact that a supplemental report is even warranted highlights the fact that this project

is extremely complex and has undergone major changes since the release of the DEIS and should under no circumstances be rushed forward. The project's operational boundary, which sits directly on top of the headwaters of the South Fork Salmon River, is extremely problematic and thus requires heightened scrutiny.

Additionally, the proposed mine is highly controversial and has the potential to significantly impact resources of high public interest, including ESA-listed fish, the Frank Church River of No Return Wilderness, rivers that are eligible for Wild and Scenic River designation, Roadless Areas, and extensive ecological, cultural, and recreational resources.

The timing of this release runs headlong into a gauntlet of both federal holidays and holidays deeply rooted in our nation's traditions, especially the religious holy days throughout December and early January. I hope you will consider this appeal to extend the comment period for the Stibnite Gold Project and allow the public ample time to have their voices heard.

Sincerely,
Megan Gilgen
Resident of Boise

Sincerely,
Megan Gilgen

11/25/2022

Ms. Jackson:

I am interested in the proposed Stibnite Gold Project (SGP), and I intend to comment on the Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement (SDEIS). In advance of the release of the SDEIS, I'm writing to request that the public comment period be extended beyond the minimum 45-day comment period for an additional 75 days to allow for a total of 120 days for public review.

The Stibnite Gold Project SDEIS is an extraordinarily complex project for the public to review and warrants additional time to do so. The fact that a supplemental report is even warranted highlights the fact that this project is extremely complex and has undergone major changes since the release of the DEIS and should under no circumstances be rushed forward. The project's operational boundary, which sits directly on top of the headwaters of the South Fork Salmon River, is extremely problematic and thus requires heightened scrutiny.

Additionally, the proposed mine is highly controversial and has the potential to significantly impact resources of high public interest, including ESA-listed fish, the Frank Church River of No Return Wilderness, rivers that are eligible for Wild and Scenic River designation, Roadless Areas, and extensive ecological, cultural, and recreational resources. The Forest Service has allowed longer comment periods for similarly sized mining proposals that did not involve the same proximity to wilderness areas or threaten ESA-listed anadromous fish.

A cornerstone of the National Environmental Policy Act is to facilitate public involvement in agency decision-making. Any comment period short of 120 days is a clear obstacle to public involvement and will only add to the deep controversy that this project already carries. If the Forest Service needed significant additional time to analyze the project, the public absolutely needs a 120-day comment period to review that analysis.

The timing of this release runs headlong into a gauntlet of both federal holidays and holidays deeply rooted in our nation's traditions, especially the religious holy days throughout December and early January. I hope you will consider this appeal to extend the comment period for the Stibnite Gold Project and allow the public ample time to have their voices heard.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Alex Aparicio

11/24/2022

Ms. Jackson:

I am interested in the proposed Stibnite Gold Project (SGP), and I intend to comment on the Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement (SDEIS). In advance of the release of the SDEIS, I'm writing to request that the public comment period be extended beyond the minimum 45-day comment period for an additional 75 days to allow for a total of 120 days for public review.

The Stibnite Gold Project SDEIS is an extraordinarily complex project for the public to review and warrants additional time to do so. The fact that a supplemental report is even warranted highlights the fact that this project is extremely complex and has undergone major changes since the release of the DEIS and should under no circumstances be rushed forward. The project's operational boundary, which sits directly on top of the headwaters of the South Fork Salmon River, is extremely problematic and thus requires heightened scrutiny.

Additionally, the proposed mine is highly controversial and has the potential to significantly impact resources of high public interest, including ESA-listed fish, the Frank Church River of No Return Wilderness, rivers that are eligible for Wild and Scenic River designation, Roadless Areas, and extensive ecological, cultural, and recreational resources. The Forest Service has allowed longer comment periods for similarly sized mining proposals that did not involve the same proximity to wilderness areas or threaten ESA-listed anadromous fish.

A cornerstone of the National Environmental Policy Act is to facilitate public involvement in agency decision-making. Any comment period short of 120 days is a clear obstacle to public involvement and will only add to the deep controversy that this project already carries. If the Forest Service needed significant additional time to analyze the project, the public absolutely needs a 120-day comment period to review that analysis.

The timing of this release runs headlong into a gauntlet of both federal holidays and holidays deeply rooted in our nation's traditions, especially the religious holy days throughout December and early January. I hope you will consider this appeal to extend the comment period for the Stibnite Gold Project and allow the public ample time to have their voices heard.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Claire Casey

11/22/2022

Ms. Jackson:

I am interested in the proposed Stibnite Gold Project (SGP), and I intend to comment on the Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement (SDEIS). In advance of the release of the SDEIS, I'm writing to request that the public comment period be extended beyond the minimum 45-day comment period for an additional 75 days to allow for a total of 120 days for public review.

The Stibnite Gold Project SDEIS is an extraordinarily complex project for the public to review and warrants additional time to do so. The fact that a supplemental report is even warranted highlights the fact that this project is extremely complex and has undergone major changes since the release of the DEIS and should under no circumstances be rushed forward. The project's operational boundary, which sits directly on top of the headwaters of the South Fork Salmon River, is extremely problematic and thus requires heightened scrutiny.

Additionally, the proposed mine is highly controversial and has the potential to significantly impact resources of high public interest, including ESA-listed fish, the Frank Church River of No Return Wilderness, rivers that are eligible for Wild and Scenic River designation, Roadless Areas, and extensive ecological, cultural, and recreational resources. The Forest Service has allowed longer comment periods for similarly sized mining proposals that did not involve the same proximity to wilderness areas or threaten ESA-listed anadromous fish.

A cornerstone of the National Environmental Policy Act is to facilitate public involvement in agency decision-making. Any comment period short of 120 days is a clear obstacle to public involvement and will only add to the deep controversy that this project already carries. If the Forest Service needed significant additional time to analyze the project, the public absolutely needs a 120-day comment period to review that analysis.

The timing of this release runs headlong into a gauntlet of both federal holidays and holidays deeply rooted in our nation's traditions, especially the religious holy days throughout December and early January. I hope you will consider this appeal to extend the comment period for the Stibnite Gold Project and allow the public ample time to have their voices heard.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Muriel Roberts

11/22/2022

Ms. Jackson:

I am interested in the proposed Stibnite Gold Project (SGP), and I intend to comment on the Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement (SDEIS). In advance of the release of the SDEIS, I'm writing to request that the public comment period be extended beyond the minimum 45-day comment period for an additional 75 days to allow for a total of 120 days for public review.

The Stibnite Gold Project SDEIS is an extraordinarily complex project for the public to review and warrants additional time to do so. The fact that a supplemental report is even warranted highlights the fact that this project is extremely complex and has undergone major changes since the release of the DEIS and should under no circumstances be rushed forward. The project's operational boundary, which sits directly on top of the headwaters of the South Fork Salmon River, is extremely problematic and thus requires heightened scrutiny.

Additionally, the proposed mine is highly controversial and has the potential to significantly impact resources of high public interest, including ESA-listed fish, the Frank Church River of No Return Wilderness, rivers that are eligible for Wild and Scenic River designation, Roadless Areas, and extensive ecological, cultural, and recreational resources. The Forest Service has allowed longer comment periods for similarly sized mining proposals that did not involve the same proximity to wilderness areas or threaten ESA-listed anadromous fish.

A cornerstone of the National Environmental Policy Act is to facilitate public involvement in agency decision-making. Any comment period short of 120 days is a clear obstacle to public involvement and will only add to the deep controversy that this project already carries. If the Forest Service needed significant additional time to analyze the project, the public absolutely needs a 120-day comment period to review that analysis.

The timing of this release runs headlong into a gauntlet of both federal holidays and holidays deeply rooted in our nation's traditions, especially the religious holy days throughout December and early January. I hope you will consider this appeal to extend the comment period for the Stibnite Gold Project and allow the public ample time to have their voices heard.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Gary Delcourt

11/22/2022

Ms. Jackson:

I am interested in the proposed Stibnite Gold Project (SGP), and I intend to comment on the Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement (SDEIS). In advance of the release of the SDEIS, I'm writing to request that the public comment period be extended beyond the minimum 45-day comment period for an additional 75 days to allow for a total of 120 days for public review.

The Stibnite Gold Project SDEIS is an extraordinarily complex project for the public to review and warrants additional time to do so. The fact that a supplemental report is even warranted highlights the fact that this project is extremely complex and has undergone major changes since the release of the DEIS and should under no circumstances be rushed forward. The project's operational boundary, which sits directly on top of the headwaters of the South Fork Salmon River, is extremely problematic and thus requires heightened scrutiny.

Additionally, the proposed mine is highly controversial and has the potential to significantly impact resources of high public interest, including ESA-listed fish, the Frank Church River of No Return Wilderness, rivers that are eligible for Wild and Scenic River designation, Roadless Areas, and extensive ecological, cultural, and recreational resources. The Forest Service has allowed longer comment periods for similarly sized mining

proposals that did not involve the same proximity to wilderness areas or threaten ESA-listed anadromous fish.

A cornerstone of the National Environmental Policy Act is to facilitate public involvement in agency decision-making. Any comment period short of 120 days is a clear obstacle to public involvement and will only add to the deep controversy that this project already carries. If the Forest Service needed significant additional time to analyze the project, the public absolutely needs a 120-day comment period to review that analysis.

The timing of this release runs headlong into a gauntlet of both federal holidays and holidays deeply rooted in our nation's traditions, especially the religious holy days throughout December and early January. I hope you will consider this appeal to extend the comment period for the Stibnite Gold Project and allow the public ample time to have their voices heard.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Gary Nicholas

11/21/2022

Ms. Jackson:

I am interested in the proposed Stibnite Gold Project (SGP), and I intend to comment on the Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement (SDEIS). In advance of the release of the SDEIS, I'm writing to request that the public comment period be extended beyond the minimum 45-day comment period for an additional 75 days to allow for a total of 120 days for public review.

The Stibnite Gold Project SDEIS is an extraordinarily complex project for the public to review and warrants additional time to do so. The fact that a supplemental report is even warranted highlights the fact that this project is extremely complex and has undergone major changes since the release of the DEIS and should under no circumstances be rushed forward. The project's operational boundary, which sits directly on top of the headwaters of the South Fork Salmon River, is extremely problematic and thus requires heightened scrutiny.

Additionally, the proposed mine is highly controversial and has the potential to significantly impact resources of high public interest, including ESA-listed fish, the Frank Church River of No Return Wilderness, rivers that are eligible for Wild and Scenic River designation, Roadless Areas, and extensive ecological, cultural, and recreational resources. The Forest Service has allowed longer comment periods for similarly sized mining proposals that did not involve the same proximity to wilderness areas or threaten ESA-listed anadromous fish.

A cornerstone of the National Environmental Policy Act is to facilitate public involvement in agency decision-making. Any comment period short of 120 days is a clear obstacle to public involvement and will only add to the deep controversy that this project already carries. If the Forest Service needed significant additional time to analyze the project, the public absolutely needs a 120-day comment period to review that analysis.

The timing of this release runs headlong into a gauntlet of both federal holidays and holidays deeply rooted in our nation's traditions, especially the religious holy days throughout December and early January. I hope you will consider this appeal to extend the comment period for the Stibnite Gold Project and allow the public ample time to have their voices heard.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Robert Kasun

11/19/2022

Ms. Jackson:

I am interested in the proposed Stibnite Gold Project (SGP), and I intend to comment on the Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement (SDEIS). In advance of the release of the SDEIS, I'm writing to request that the public comment period be extended beyond the minimum 45-day comment period for an additional 75 days to allow for a total of 120 days for public review.

The Stibnite Gold Project SDEIS is an extraordinarily complex project for the public to review and warrants additional time to do so. The fact that a supplemental report is even warranted highlights the fact that this project is extremely complex and has undergone major changes since the release of the DEIS and should under no circumstances be rushed forward. The project's operational boundary, which sits directly on top of the headwaters of the South Fork Salmon River, is extremely problematic and thus requires heightened scrutiny.

Additionally, the proposed mine is highly controversial and has the potential to significantly impact resources of high public interest, including ESA-listed fish, the Frank Church River of No Return Wilderness, rivers that are eligible for Wild and Scenic River designation, Roadless Areas, and extensive ecological, cultural, and recreational resources. The Forest Service has allowed longer comment periods for similarly sized mining proposals that did not involve the same proximity to wilderness areas or threaten ESA-listed anadromous fish.

A cornerstone of the National Environmental Policy Act is to facilitate public involvement in agency decision-making. Any comment period short of 120 days is a clear obstacle to public involvement and will only add to the deep controversy that this project already carries. If the Forest Service needed significant additional time to analyze the project, the public absolutely needs a 120-day comment period to review that analysis.

The timing of this release runs headlong into a gauntlet of both federal holidays and holidays deeply rooted in our nation's traditions, especially the religious holy days throughout December and early January. I hope you will consider this appeal to extend the comment period for the Stibnite Gold Project and allow the public ample time to have their voices heard.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Jim Mathews

11/19/2022

Ms. Jackson:

I am interested in the proposed Stibnite Gold Project (SGP), and I intend to comment on the Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement (SDEIS). In advance of the release of the SDEIS, I'm writing to request that the public comment period be extended beyond the minimum 45-day comment period for an additional 75 days to allow for a total of 120 days for public review.

The Stibnite Gold Project SDEIS is an extraordinarily complex project for the public to review and warrants additional time to do so. The fact that a supplemental report is even warranted highlights the fact that this project is extremely complex and has undergone major changes since the release of the DEIS and should under no circumstances be rushed forward. The project's operational boundary, which sits directly on top of the headwaters of the South Fork Salmon River, is extremely problematic and thus requires heightened scrutiny.

Additionally, the proposed mine is highly controversial and has the potential to significantly impact resources of high public interest, including ESA-listed fish, the Frank Church River of No Return Wilderness, rivers that are eligible for Wild and Scenic River designation, Roadless Areas, and extensive ecological, cultural, and recreational resources. The Forest Service has allowed longer comment periods for similarly sized mining proposals that did not involve the same proximity to wilderness areas or threaten ESA-listed anadromous fish.

A cornerstone of the National Environmental Policy Act is to facilitate public involvement in agency decision-making. Any comment period short of 120 days is a clear obstacle to public involvement and will only add to the deep controversy that this project already carries. If the Forest Service needed significant additional time to analyze the project, the public absolutely needs a 120-day comment period to review that analysis.

The timing of this release runs headlong into a gauntlet of both federal holidays and holidays deeply rooted in our nation's traditions, especially the religious holy days throughout December and early January. I hope you will consider this appeal to extend the comment period for the Stibnite Gold Project and allow the public ample time to have their voices heard.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Pat Mitch
11/19/2022

Ms. Jackson:

I am interested in the proposed Stibnite Gold Project (SGP), and I intend to comment on the Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement (SDEIS). In advance of the release of the SDEIS, I'm writing to request that the public comment period be extended beyond the minimum 45-day comment period for an additional 75 days to allow for a total of 120 days for public review.

The Stibnite Gold Project SDEIS is an extraordinarily complex project for the public to review and warrants additional time to do so. The fact that a supplemental report is even warranted highlights the fact that this project is extremely complex and has undergone major changes since the release of the DEIS and should under no circumstances be rushed forward. The project's operational boundary, which sits directly on top of the

headwaters of the South Fork Salmon River, is extremely problematic and thus requires heightened scrutiny.

Additionally, the proposed mine is highly controversial and has the potential to significantly impact resources of high public interest, including ESA-listed fish, the Frank Church River of No Return Wilderness, rivers that are eligible for Wild and Scenic River designation, Roadless Areas, and extensive ecological, cultural, and recreational resources. The Forest Service has allowed longer comment periods for similarly sized mining proposals that did not involve the same proximity to wilderness areas or threaten ESA-listed anadromous fish.

A cornerstone of the National Environmental Policy Act is to facilitate public involvement in agency decision-making. Any comment period short of 120 days is a clear obstacle to public involvement and will only add to the deep controversy that this project already carries. If the Forest Service needed significant additional time to analyze the project, the public absolutely needs a 120-day comment period to review that analysis.

The timing of this release runs headlong into a gauntlet of both federal holidays and holidays deeply rooted in our nation's traditions, especially the religious holy days throughout December and early January. I hope you will consider this appeal to extend the comment period for the Stibnite Gold Project and allow the public ample time to have their voices heard.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Doug Zamzow

11/18/2022

Ms. Jackson:

I am interested in the proposed Stibnite Gold Project (SGP), and I intend to comment on the Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement (SDEIS). In advance of the release of the SDEIS, I'm writing to request that the public comment period be extended beyond the minimum 45-day comment period for an additional 75 days to allow for a total of 120 days for public review.

The Stibnite Gold Project SDEIS is an extraordinarily complex project for the public to review and warrants additional time to do so. The fact that a supplemental report is even warranted highlights the fact that this project is extremely complex and has undergone major changes since the release of the DEIS and should under no circumstances be rushed forward. The project's operational boundary, which sits directly on top of the headwaters of the South Fork Salmon River, is extremely problematic and thus requires heightened scrutiny.

Additionally, the proposed mine is highly controversial and has the potential to significantly impact resources of high public interest, including ESA-listed fish, the Frank Church River of No Return Wilderness, rivers that are eligible for Wild and Scenic River designation, Roadless Areas, and extensive ecological, cultural, and recreational resources. The Forest Service has allowed longer comment periods for similarly sized mining proposals that did not involve the same proximity to wilderness areas or threaten ESA-listed anadromous fish.

A cornerstone of the National Environmental Policy Act is to facilitate public involvement in agency decision-making. Any comment period short of 120 days is a clear obstacle to public involvement and will only add to the deep controversy that this project already carries. If the Forest Service needed significant additional time to

analyze the project, the public absolutely needs a 120-day comment period to review that analysis.

The timing of this release runs headlong into a gauntlet of both federal holidays and holidays deeply rooted in our nation's traditions, especially the religious holy days throughout December and early January. I hope you will consider this appeal to extend the comment period for the Stibnite Gold Project and allow the public ample time to have their voices heard.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Elaine Becker

11/18/2022

Ms. Jackson:

I am interested in the proposed Stibnite Gold Project (SGP), and I intend to comment on the Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement (SDEIS). In advance of the release of the SDEIS, I'm writing to request that the public comment period be extended beyond the minimum 45-day comment period for an additional 75 days to allow for a total of 120 days for public review.

The Stibnite Gold Project SDEIS is an extraordinarily complex project for the public to review and warrants additional time to do so. The fact that a supplemental report is even warranted highlights the fact that this project is extremely complex and has undergone major changes since the release of the DEIS and should under no circumstances be rushed forward. The project's operational boundary, which sits directly on top of the headwaters of the South Fork Salmon River, is extremely problematic and thus requires heightened scrutiny.

Additionally, the proposed mine is highly controversial and has the potential to significantly impact resources of high public interest, including ESA-listed fish, the Frank Church River of No Return Wilderness, rivers that are eligible for Wild and Scenic River designation, Roadless Areas, and extensive ecological, cultural, and recreational resources. The Forest Service has allowed longer comment periods for similarly sized mining proposals that did not involve the same proximity to wilderness areas or threaten ESA-listed anadromous fish.

A cornerstone of the National Environmental Policy Act is to facilitate public involvement in agency decision-making. Any comment period short of 120 days is a clear obstacle to public involvement and will only add to the deep controversy that this project already carries. If the Forest Service needed significant additional time to analyze the project, the public absolutely needs a 120-day comment period to review that analysis.

The timing of this release runs headlong into a gauntlet of both federal holidays and holidays deeply rooted in our nation's traditions, especially the religious holy days throughout December and early January. I hope you will consider this appeal to extend the comment period for the Stibnite Gold Project and allow the public ample time to have their voices heard.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
JUNE HEILMAN

11/18/2022

Ms. Jackson:

I am interested in the proposed Stibnite Gold Project (SGP), and I intend to comment on the Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement (SDEIS). In advance of the release of the SDEIS, I'm writing to request that the public comment period be extended beyond the minimum 45-day comment period for an additional 75 days to allow for a total of 120 days for public review.

The Stibnite Gold Project SDEIS is an extraordinarily complex project for the public to review and warrants additional time to do so. The fact that a supplemental report is even warranted highlights the fact that this project is extremely complex and has undergone major changes since the release of the DEIS and should under no circumstances be rushed forward. The project's operational boundary, which sits directly on top of the headwaters of the South Fork Salmon River, is extremely problematic and thus requires heightened scrutiny.

Additionally, the proposed mine is highly controversial and has the potential to significantly impact resources of high public interest, including ESA-listed fish, the Frank Church River of No Return Wilderness, rivers that are eligible for Wild and Scenic River designation, Roadless Areas, and extensive ecological, cultural, and recreational resources. The Forest Service has allowed longer comment periods for similarly sized mining proposals that did not involve the same proximity to wilderness areas or threaten ESA-listed anadromous fish.

A cornerstone of the National Environmental Policy Act is to facilitate public involvement in agency decision-making. Any comment period short of 120 days is a clear obstacle to public involvement and will only add to the deep controversy that this project already carries. If the Forest Service needed significant additional time to analyze the project, the public absolutely needs a 120-day comment period to review that analysis.

The timing of this release runs headlong into a gauntlet of both federal holidays and holidays deeply rooted in our nation's traditions, especially the religious holy days throughout December and early January. I hope you will consider this appeal to extend the comment period for the Stibnite Gold Project and allow the public ample time to have their voices heard.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Joe Scott

12/1/2022

Ms. Jackson:

I am interested in the proposed Stibnite Gold Project (SGP), and I intend to comment on the Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement (SDEIS). In advance of the release of the SDEIS, I'm writing to request that the

public comment period be extended beyond the minimum 45-day comment period for an additional 75 days to allow for a total of 120 days for public review.

The Stibnite Gold Project SDEIS is an extraordinarily complex project for the public to review and warrants additional time to do so. The fact that a supplemental report is even warranted highlights the fact that this project is extremely complex and has undergone major changes since the release of the DEIS and should under no circumstances be rushed forward. The project's operational boundary, which sits directly on top of the headwaters of the South Fork Salmon River, is extremely problematic and thus requires heightened scrutiny.

Additionally, the proposed mine is highly controversial and has the potential to significantly impact resources of high public interest, including ESA-listed fish, the Frank Church River of No Return Wilderness, rivers that are eligible for Wild and Scenic River designation, Roadless Areas, and extensive ecological, cultural, and recreational resources. The Forest Service has allowed longer comment periods for similarly sized mining proposals that did not involve the same proximity to wilderness areas or threaten ESA-listed anadromous fish.

A cornerstone of the National Environmental Policy Act is to facilitate public involvement in agency decision-making. Any comment period short of 120 days is a clear obstacle to public involvement and will only add to the deep controversy that this project already carries. If the Forest Service needed significant additional time to analyze the project, the public absolutely needs a 120-day comment period to review that analysis.

The timing of this release runs headlong into a gauntlet of both federal holidays and holidays deeply rooted in our nation's traditions, especially the religious holy days throughout December and early January. I hope you will consider this appeal to extend the comment period for the Stibnite Gold Project and allow the public ample time to have their voices heard.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Sam McLandress
12/1/2022

Ms. Jackson:

I am interested in the proposed Stibnite Gold Project (SGP), and I intend to comment on the Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement (SDEIS).

In advance of the release of the SDEIS, I'm writing to request that the public comment period be extended beyond the minimum 45-day comment period for an additional 75 days to allow for a total of 120 days for public review.

The Stibnite Gold Project SDEIS is an extraordinarily complex project for the public to review and warrants additional time to do so. The fact that a supplemental report is even warranted highlights the fact that this project is extremely complex and has undergone major changes since the release of the DEIS and should under no circumstances be rushed forward. The project's operational boundary, which sits directly on top of the headwaters of the South Fork Salmon River, is extremely problematic and thus requires heightened scrutiny.

Additionally, the proposed mine is highly controversial and has the potential to significantly impact resources of

high public interest, including ESA-listed fish, the Frank Church River of No Return Wilderness, rivers that are eligible for Wild and Scenic River designation, Roadless Areas, and extensive ecological, cultural, and recreational resources.

The Forest Service has allowed longer comment periods for similarly sized mining proposals that did not involve the same proximity to wilderness areas or threaten ESA-listed anadromous fish.

A cornerstone of the National Environmental Policy Act is to facilitate public involvement in agency decision-making. Any comment period short of 120 days is a clear obstacle to public involvement and will only add to the deep controversy that this project already carries. If the Forest Service needed significant additional time to analyze the project, the public absolutely needs a 120-day comment period to review that analysis.

The timing of this release runs headlong into a gauntlet of both federal holidays and holidays deeply rooted in our nation's traditions, especially the religious holy days throughout December and early January. I hope you will consider this appeal to extend the comment period for the Stibnite Gold Project and allow the public ample time to have their voices heard.

Sincerely,
Bethany Blitz

12/8/2022

Ms. Jackson:

I am interested in the proposed Stibnite Gold Project (SGP), and I intend to comment on the Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement (SDEIS). In advance of the release of the SDEIS, I'm writing to request that the public comment period be extended beyond the minimum 45-day comment period for an additional 75 days to allow for a total of 120 days for public review.

The Stibnite Gold Project SDEIS is an extraordinarily complex project for the public to review and warrants additional time to do so. The fact that a supplemental report is even warranted highlights the fact that this project is extremely complex and has undergone major changes since the release of the DEIS and should under no circumstances be rushed forward. The project's operational boundary, which sits directly on top of the headwaters of the South Fork Salmon River, is extremely problematic and thus requires heightened scrutiny.

Additionally, the proposed mine is highly controversial and has the potential to significantly impact resources of high public interest, including ESA-listed fish, the Frank Church River of No Return Wilderness, rivers that are eligible for Wild and Scenic River designation, Roadless Areas, and extensive ecological, cultural, and recreational resources. The Forest Service has allowed longer comment periods for similarly sized mining proposals that did not involve the same proximity to wilderness areas or threaten ESA-listed anadromous fish.

A cornerstone of the National Environmental Policy Act is to facilitate public involvement in agency decision-making. Any comment period short of 120 days is a clear obstacle to public involvement and will only add to the deep controversy that this project already carries. If the Forest Service needed significant additional time to analyze the project, the public absolutely needs a 120-day comment period to review that analysis.

The timing of this release runs headlong into a gauntlet of both federal holidays and holidays deeply rooted in our

nation's traditions, especially the religious holy days throughout December and early January. I hope you will consider this appeal to extend the comment period for the Stibnite Gold Project and allow the public ample time to have their voices heard.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Bill Schnupp

12/1/2022

Ms. Jackson:

I am interested in the proposed Stibnite Gold Project (SGP), and I intend to comment on the Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement (SDEIS). In advance of the release of the SDEIS, I'm writing to request that the public comment period be extended beyond the minimum 45-day comment period for an additional 75 days to allow for a total of 120 days for public review.

The Stibnite Gold Project SDEIS is an extraordinarily complex project for the public to review and warrants additional time to do so. The fact that a supplemental report is even warranted highlights the fact that this project is extremely complex and has undergone major changes since the release of the DEIS and should under no circumstances be rushed forward. The project's operational boundary, which sits directly on top of the headwaters of the South Fork Salmon River, is extremely problematic and thus requires heightened scrutiny.

Additionally, the proposed mine is highly controversial and has the potential to significantly impact resources of high public interest, including ESA-listed fish, the Frank Church River of No Return Wilderness, rivers that are eligible for Wild and Scenic River designation, Roadless Areas, and extensive ecological, cultural, and recreational resources. The Forest Service has allowed longer comment periods for similarly sized mining proposals that did not involve the same proximity to wilderness areas or threaten ESA-listed anadromous fish.

A cornerstone of the National Environmental Policy Act is to facilitate public involvement in agency decision-making. Any comment period short of 120 days is a clear obstacle to public involvement and will only add to the deep controversy that this project already carries. If the Forest Service needed significant additional time to analyze the project, the public absolutely needs a 120-day comment period to review that analysis.

The timing of this release runs headlong into a gauntlet of both federal holidays and holidays deeply rooted in our nation's traditions, especially the religious holy days throughout December and early January. I hope you will consider this appeal to extend the comment period for the Stibnite Gold Project and allow the public ample time to have their voices heard.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Susan Deemer

12/1/2022

Ms. Jackson:

I am interested in the proposed Stibnite Gold Project (SGP), and I intend to comment on the Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement (SDEIS). In advance of the release of the SDEIS, I'm writing to request that the public comment period be extended beyond the minimum 45-day comment period for an additional 75 days to allow for a total of 120 days for public review.

The Stibnite Gold Project SDEIS is an extraordinarily complex project for the public to review and warrants additional time to do so. The fact that a supplemental report is even warranted highlights the fact that this project is extremely complex and has undergone major changes since the release of the DEIS and should under no circumstances be rushed forward. The project's operational boundary, which sits directly on top of the headwaters of the South Fork Salmon River, is extremely problematic and thus requires heightened scrutiny.

Additionally, the proposed mine is highly controversial and has the potential to significantly impact resources of high public interest, including ESA-listed fish, the Frank Church River of No Return Wilderness, rivers that are eligible for Wild and Scenic River designation, Roadless Areas, and extensive ecological, cultural, and recreational resources. The Forest Service has allowed longer comment periods for similarly sized mining proposals that did not involve the same proximity to wilderness areas or threaten ESA-listed anadromous fish.

A cornerstone of the National Environmental Policy Act is to facilitate public involvement in agency decision-making. Any comment period short of 120 days is a clear obstacle to public involvement and will only add to the deep controversy that this project already carries. If the Forest Service needed significant additional time to analyze the project, the public absolutely needs a 120-day comment period to review that analysis.

The timing of this release runs headlong into a gauntlet of both federal holidays and holidays deeply rooted in our nation's traditions, especially the religious holy days throughout December and early January. I hope you will consider this appeal to extend the comment period for the Stibnite Gold Project and allow the public ample time to have their voices heard.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Brian Harm

12/1/2022

Ms. Jackson:

I am interested in the proposed Stibnite Gold Project (SGP), and I intend to comment on the Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement (SDEIS). In advance of the release of the SDEIS, I'm writing to request that the public comment period be extended beyond the minimum 45-day comment period for an additional 75 days to allow for a total of 120 days for public review.

The Stibnite Gold Project SDEIS is an extraordinarily complex project for the public to review and warrants

additional time to do so. The fact that a supplemental report is even warranted highlights the fact that this project is extremely complex and has undergone major changes since the release of the DEIS and should under no circumstances be rushed forward. The project's operational boundary, which sits directly on top of the headwaters of the South Fork Salmon River, is extremely problematic and thus requires heightened scrutiny.

Additionally, the proposed mine is highly controversial and has the potential to significantly impact resources of high public interest, including ESA-listed fish, the Frank Church River of No Return Wilderness, rivers that are eligible for Wild and Scenic River designation, Roadless Areas, and extensive ecological, cultural, and recreational resources. The Forest Service has allowed longer comment periods for similarly sized mining proposals that did not involve the same proximity to wilderness areas or threaten ESA-listed anadromous fish.

A cornerstone of the National Environmental Policy Act is to facilitate public involvement in agency decision-making. Any comment period short of 120 days is a clear obstacle to public involvement and will only add to the deep controversy that this project already carries. If the Forest Service needed significant additional time to analyze the project, the public absolutely needs a 120-day comment period to review that analysis.

The timing of this release runs headlong into a gauntlet of both federal holidays and holidays deeply rooted in our nation's traditions, especially the religious holy days throughout December and early January. I hope you will consider this appeal to extend the comment period for the Stibnite Gold Project and allow the public ample time to have their voices heard.

Sincerely, Bob Stevens

12/1/2022

Ms. Jackson:

I am interested in the proposed Stibnite Gold Project (SGP), and I intend to comment on the Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement (SDEIS). In advance of the release of the SDEIS, I'm writing to request that the public comment period be extended beyond the minimum 45-day comment period for an additional 75 days to allow for a total of 120 days for public review.

The Stibnite Gold Project SDEIS is an extraordinarily complex project for the public to review and warrants additional time to do so. The fact that a supplemental report is even warranted highlights the fact that this project is extremely complex and has undergone major changes since the release of the DEIS and should under no circumstances be rushed forward. The project's operational boundary, which sits directly on top of the headwaters of the South Fork Salmon River, is extremely problematic and thus requires heightened scrutiny.

Additionally, the proposed mine is highly controversial and has the potential to significantly impact resources of high public interest, including ESA-listed fish, the Frank Church River of No Return Wilderness, rivers that are eligible for Wild and Scenic River designation, Roadless Areas, and extensive ecological, cultural, and recreational resources. The Forest Service has allowed longer comment periods for similarly sized mining proposals that did not involve the same proximity to wilderness areas or threaten ESA-listed anadromous fish.

A cornerstone of the National Environmental Policy Act is to facilitate public involvement in agency decision-making. Any comment period short of 120 days is a clear obstacle to public involvement and will only add to the deep controversy that this project already carries. If the Forest Service needed significant additional time to

analyze the project, the public absolutely needs a 120-day comment period to review that analysis.

The timing of this release runs headlong into a gauntlet of both federal holidays and holidays deeply rooted in our nation's traditions, especially the religious holy days throughout December and early January. I hope you will consider this appeal to extend the comment period for the Stibnite Gold Project and allow the public ample time to have their voices heard.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Ang DeMarco

11/30/2022

Ms. Jackson:

I am interested in the proposed Stibnite Gold Project (SGP), and I intend to comment on the Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement (SDEIS). In advance of the release of the SDEIS, I'm writing to request that the public comment period be extended beyond the minimum 45-day comment period for an additional 75 days to allow for a total of 120 days for public review.

The Stibnite Gold Project SDEIS is an extraordinarily complex project for the public to review and warrants additional time to do so. The fact that a supplemental report is even warranted highlights the fact that this project is extremely complex and has undergone major changes since the release of the DEIS and should under no circumstances be rushed forward. The project's operational boundary, which sits directly on top of the headwaters of the South Fork Salmon River, is extremely problematic and thus requires heightened scrutiny.

Additionally, the proposed mine is highly controversial and has the potential to significantly impact resources of high public interest, including ESA-listed fish, the Frank Church River of No Return Wilderness, rivers that are eligible for Wild and Scenic River designation, Roadless Areas, and extensive ecological, cultural, and recreational resources. The Forest Service has allowed longer comment periods for similarly sized mining proposals that did not involve the same proximity to wilderness areas or threaten ESA-listed anadromous fish.

A cornerstone of the National Environmental Policy Act is to facilitate public involvement in agency decision-making. Any comment period short of 120 days is a clear obstacle to public involvement and will only add to the deep controversy that this project already carries. If the Forest Service needed significant additional time to analyze the project, the public absolutely needs a 120-day comment period to review that analysis.

The timing of this release runs headlong into a gauntlet of both federal holidays and holidays deeply rooted in our nation's traditions, especially the religious holy days throughout December and early January. I hope you will consider this appeal to extend the comment period for the Stibnite Gold Project and allow the public ample time to have their voices heard.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Jackie Einerson

11/30/2022

Ms. Jackson:

I am interested in the proposed Stibnite Gold Project (SGP), and I intend to comment on the Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement (SDEIS). In advance of the release of the SDEIS, I'm writing to request that the public comment period be extended beyond the minimum 45-day comment period for an additional 75 days to allow for a total of 120 days for public review.

The Stibnite Gold Project SDEIS is an extraordinarily complex project for the public to review and warrants additional time to do so. The fact that a supplemental report is even warranted highlights the fact that this project is extremely complex and has undergone major changes since the release of the DEIS and should under no circumstances be rushed forward. The project's operational boundary, which sits directly on top of the headwaters of the South Fork Salmon River, is extremely problematic and thus requires heightened scrutiny.

Additionally, the proposed mine is highly controversial and has the potential to significantly impact resources of high public interest, including ESA-listed fish, the Frank Church River of No Return Wilderness, rivers that are eligible for Wild and Scenic River designation, Roadless Areas, and extensive ecological, cultural, and recreational resources. The Forest Service has allowed longer comment periods for similarly sized mining proposals that did not involve the same proximity to wilderness areas or threaten ESA-listed anadromous fish.

A cornerstone of the National Environmental Policy Act is to facilitate public involvement in agency decision-making. Any comment period short of 120 days is a clear obstacle to public involvement and will only add to the deep controversy that this project already carries. If the Forest Service needed significant additional time to analyze the project, the public absolutely needs a 120-day comment period to review that analysis.

The timing of this release runs headlong into a gauntlet of both federal holidays and holidays deeply rooted in our nation's traditions, especially the religious holy days throughout December and early January. I hope you will consider this appeal to extend the comment period for the Stibnite Gold Project and allow the public ample time to have their voices heard.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Oliver Reynolds-Scheel

11/27/2022

Ms. Jackson:

I am very interested in the proposed Stibnite Gold Project (SGP), and I intend to comment on the Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement (SDEIS). In advance of the release of the SDEIS, I'm writing to request that the public comment period be extended beyond the minimum 45-day comment period for an additional 75 days to allow for a total of 120 days for public review.

The Stibnite Gold Project SDEIS is an extraordinarily complex project for the public to review and warrants additional time to do so. The fact that a supplemental report is even warranted highlights the fact that this project is extremely complex and has undergone major changes since the release of the DEIS and should under no circumstances be rushed forward. The project's operational boundary, which sits directly on top of the headwaters of the South Fork Salmon River, is extremely problematic and thus requires heightened scrutiny.

Additionally, the proposed mine is highly controversial and has the potential to significantly impact resources of high public interest, including ESA-listed fish, the Frank Church River of No Return Wilderness, rivers that are eligible for Wild and Scenic River designation, Roadless Areas, and extensive ecological, cultural, and recreational resources. The Forest Service has allowed longer comment periods for similarly sized mining proposals that did not involve the same proximity to wilderness areas or threaten ESA-listed anadromous fish.

A cornerstone of the National Environmental Policy Act is to facilitate public involvement in agency decision-making. Any comment period short of 120 days is a clear obstacle to public involvement and will only add to the deep controversy that this project already carries. If the Forest Service needed significant additional time to analyze the project, the public absolutely needs a 120-day comment period to review that analysis.

The timing of this release runs headlong into a gauntlet of both federal holidays and holidays deeply rooted in our nation's traditions, especially the religious holy days throughout December and early January. I hope you will consider this appeal to extend the comment period for the Stibnite Gold Project and allow the public ample time to have their voices heard.

Sincerely,

Pamela Kramer

12/6/2022

Ms. Jackson:

I am interested in the proposed Stibnite Gold Project (SGP), and I intend to comment on the Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement (SDEIS). In advance of the release of the SDEIS, I'm writing to request that the public comment period be extended beyond the minimum 45-day comment period for an additional 75 days to allow for a total of 120 days for public review.

The Stibnite Gold Project SDEIS is an extraordinarily complex project for the public to review and warrants additional time to do so. The fact that a supplemental report is even warranted highlights the fact that this project is extremely complex and has undergone major changes since the release of the DEIS and should under no circumstances be rushed forward. The project's operational boundary, which sits directly on top of the headwaters of the South Fork Salmon River, is extremely problematic and thus requires heightened scrutiny.

Additionally, the proposed mine is highly controversial and has the potential to significantly impact resources of

high public interest, including ESA-listed fish, the Frank Church River of No Return Wilderness, rivers that are eligible for Wild and Scenic River designation, Roadless Areas, and extensive ecological, cultural, and recreational resources. The Forest Service has allowed longer comment periods for similarly sized mining proposals that did not involve the same proximity to wilderness areas or threaten ESA-listed anadromous fish.

A cornerstone of the National Environmental Policy Act is to facilitate public involvement in agency decision-making. Any comment period short of 120 days is a clear obstacle to public involvement and will only add to the deep controversy that this project already carries. If the Forest Service needed significant additional time to analyze the project, the public absolutely needs a 120-day comment period to review that analysis.

The timing of this release runs headlong into a gauntlet of both federal holidays and holidays deeply rooted in our nation's traditions, especially the religious holy days throughout December and early January. I hope you will consider this appeal to extend the comment period for the Stibnite Gold Project and allow the public ample time to have their voices heard.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Andrew Halverson
12/1/2022

Ms. Jackson:

I am interested in the proposed Stibnite Gold Project (SGP), and I intend to comment on the Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement (SDEIS). In advance of the release of the SDEIS, I'm writing to request that the public comment period be extended beyond the minimum 45-day comment period for an additional 75 days to allow for a total of 120 days for public review.

The Stibnite Gold Project SDEIS is an extraordinarily complex project for the public to review and warrants additional time to do so. The fact that a supplemental report is even warranted highlights the fact that this project is extremely complex and has undergone major changes since the release of the DEIS and should under no circumstances be rushed forward. The project's operational boundary, which sits directly on top of the headwaters of the South Fork Salmon River, is extremely problematic and thus requires heightened scrutiny.

Additionally, the proposed mine is highly controversial and has the potential to significantly impact resources of high public interest, including ESA-listed fish, the Frank Church River of No Return Wilderness, rivers that are eligible for Wild and Scenic River designation, Roadless Areas, and extensive ecological, cultural, and recreational resources. The Forest Service has allowed longer comment periods for similarly sized mining proposals that did not involve the same proximity to wilderness areas or threaten ESA-listed anadromous fish.

A cornerstone of the National Environmental Policy Act is to facilitate public involvement in agency decision-making. Any comment period short of 120 days is a clear obstacle to public involvement and will only add to the deep controversy that this project already carries. If the Forest Service needed significant additional time to analyze the project, the public absolutely needs a 120-day comment period to review that analysis.

The timing of this release runs headlong into a gauntlet of both federal holidays and holidays deeply rooted in our nation's traditions, especially the religious holy days throughout December and early January. I hope you will

consider this appeal to extend the comment period for the Stibnite Gold Project and allow the public ample time to have their voices heard.

Sincerely, Greta Walser

12/1/2022

Ms. Jackson:

I am interested in the proposed Stibnite Gold Project (SGP), and I intend to comment on the Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement (SDEIS). In advance of the release of the SDEIS, I'm writing to request that the public comment period be extended beyond the minimum 45-day comment period for an additional 75 days to allow for a total of 120 days for public review.

The Stibnite Gold Project SDEIS is an extraordinarily complex project for the public to review and warrants additional time to do so. The fact that a supplemental report is even warranted highlights the fact that this project is extremely complex and has undergone major changes since the release of the DEIS and should under no circumstances be rushed forward. The project's operational boundary, which sits directly on top of the headwaters of the South Fork Salmon River, is extremely problematic and thus requires heightened scrutiny.

Additionally, the proposed mine is highly controversial and has the potential to significantly impact resources of high public interest, including ESA-listed fish, the Frank Church River of No Return Wilderness, rivers that are eligible for Wild and Scenic River designation, Roadless Areas, and extensive ecological, cultural, and recreational resources. The Forest Service has allowed longer comment periods for similarly sized mining proposals that did not involve the same proximity to wilderness areas or threaten ESA-listed anadromous fish.

A cornerstone of the National Environmental Policy Act is to facilitate public involvement in agency decision-making. Any comment period short of 120 days is a clear obstacle to public involvement and will only add to the deep controversy that this project already carries. If the Forest Service needed significant additional time to analyze the project, the public absolutely needs a 120-day comment period to review that analysis.

The timing of this release runs headlong into a gauntlet of both federal holidays and holidays deeply rooted in our nation's traditions, especially the religious holy days throughout December and early January. I hope you will consider this appeal to extend the comment period for the Stibnite Gold Project and allow the public ample time to have their voices heard.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
John Mortimer

12/1/2022

Ms. Jackson:

I am interested in the proposed Stibnite Gold Project (SGP), and I intend to comment on the Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement (SDEIS). In advance of the release of the SDEIS, I'm writing to request that the public comment period be extended beyond the minimum 45-day comment period for an additional 75 days to allow for a total of 120 days for public review.

The Stibnite Gold Project SDEIS is an extraordinarily complex project for the public to review and warrants additional time to do so. The fact that a supplemental report is even warranted highlights the fact that this project is extremely complex and has undergone major changes since the release of the DEIS and should under no circumstances be rushed forward. The project's operational boundary, which sits directly on top of the headwaters of the South Fork Salmon River, is extremely problematic and thus requires heightened scrutiny.

Additionally, the proposed mine is highly controversial and has the potential to significantly impact resources of high public interest, including ESA-listed fish, the Frank Church River of No Return Wilderness, rivers that are eligible for Wild and Scenic River designation, Roadless Areas, and extensive ecological, cultural, and recreational resources. The Forest Service has allowed longer comment periods for similarly sized mining proposals that did not involve the same proximity to wilderness areas or threaten ESA-listed anadromous fish.

A cornerstone of the National Environmental Policy Act is to facilitate public involvement in agency decision-making. Any comment period short of 120 days is a clear obstacle to public involvement and will only add to the deep controversy that this project already carries. If the Forest Service needed significant additional time to analyze the project, the public absolutely needs a 120-day comment period to review that analysis.

The timing of this release runs headlong into a gauntlet of both federal holidays and holidays deeply rooted in our nation's traditions, especially the religious holy days throughout December and early January. I hope you will consider this appeal to extend the comment period for the Stibnite Gold Project and allow the public ample time to have their voices heard.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Richard A Carosone

12/1/2022

Ms. Jackson:

I am interested in the proposed Stibnite Gold Project (SGP), and I intend to comment on the Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement (SDEIS). In advance of the release of the SDEIS, I'm writing to request that the public comment period be extended beyond the minimum 45-day comment period for an additional 75 days to allow for a total of 120 days for public review.

The Stibnite Gold Project SDEIS is an extraordinarily complex project for the public to review and warrants additional time to do so. The fact that a supplemental report is even warranted highlights the fact that this project is extremely complex and has undergone major changes since the release of the DEIS and should under no circumstances be rushed forward. The project's operational boundary, which sits directly on top of the

headwaters of the South Fork Salmon River, is extremely problematic and thus requires heightened scrutiny.

Additionally, the proposed mine is highly controversial and has the potential to significantly impact resources of high public interest, including ESA-listed fish, the Frank Church River of No Return Wilderness, rivers that are eligible for Wild and Scenic River designation, Roadless Areas, and extensive ecological, cultural, and recreational resources. The Forest Service has allowed longer comment periods for similarly sized mining proposals that did not involve the same proximity to wilderness areas or threaten ESA-listed anadromous fish.

A cornerstone of the National Environmental Policy Act is to facilitate public involvement in agency decision-making. Any comment period short of 120 days is a clear obstacle to public involvement and will only add to the deep controversy that this project already carries. If the Forest Service needed significant additional time to analyze the project, the public absolutely needs a 120-day comment period to review that analysis.

The timing of this release runs headlong into a gauntlet of both federal holidays and holidays deeply rooted in our nation's traditions, especially the religious holy days throughout December and early January. I hope you will consider this appeal to extend the comment period for the Stibnite Gold Project and allow the public ample time to have their voices heard.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Diane Cooper

12/1/2022

Linda Jackson:

This type of thing is absolutely insane! 45 days? And just how do a significant number of people get to find out about this and give an opinion. Very few comparatively! Yet this will devastatingly effect an entire huge ecosystem that connects to all ecosystems down and up the line from the confluence of the Main Salmon. The Snake and Columbia and Oceans! The entire river health is effected as well as the South Fork! No review is really even needed..... and is a waste of money. It is absolutely known and already established what this kind of greedy ravage does! And the money talks... not what you can see with your own eyes.... and so these projects are still almost inclusively approved!

I am interested in the proposed Stibnite Gold Project (SGP), and I intend to comment on the Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement (SDEIS). In advance of the release of the SDEIS, I'm writing to request that the public comment period be extended beyond the minimum 45-day comment period for an additional 75 days to allow for a total of 120 days for public review.

The Stibnite Gold Project SDEIS is an extraordinarily complex project for the public to review and warrants additional time to do so. The fact that a supplemental report is even warranted highlights the fact that this project is extremely complex and has undergone major changes since the release of the DEIS and should under no circumstances be rushed forward. The project's operational boundary, which sits directly on top of the headwaters of the South Fork Salmon River, is extremely problematic and thus requires heightened scrutiny.

The proposed mine is highly controversial and has the potential to significantly impact resources of high public interest, including ESA-listed fish, the Frank Church River of No Return Wilderness, rivers that are eligible for Wild and Scenic River designation, Roadless Areas, and extensive ecological, cultural, and recreational resources. The Forest Service has allowed longer comment periods for similarly sized mining proposals that did not involve the same proximity to wilderness areas or threaten ESA-listed anadromous fish.

A cornerstone of the National Environmental Policy Act is to facilitate public involvement in agency decision-making. Any comment period short of 120 days is a clear obstacle to public involvement and will only add to the deep controversy that this project already carries. If the Forest Service needed significant additional time to analyze the project, the public absolutely needs a 120-day comment period to review that analysis.

The timing of this release runs headlong into a gauntlet of both federal holidays and holidays deeply rooted in our nation's traditions, especially the religious holy days throughout December and early January. I hope you will consider this appeal to extend the comment period for the Stibnite Gold Project and allow the public ample time to have their voices heard.

Sincerely

Sincerely,
Lynne Cooper

12/1/2022

Ms. Jackson:

I am interested in the proposed Stibnite Gold Project (SGP), and I intend to comment on the Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement (SDEIS). In advance of the release of the SDEIS, I'm writing to request that the public comment period be extended beyond the minimum 45-day comment period for an additional 75 days to allow for a total of 120 days for public review.

The Stibnite Gold Project SDEIS is an extraordinarily complex project for the public to review and warrants additional time to do so. The fact that a supplemental report is even warranted highlights the fact that this project is extremely complex and has undergone major changes since the release of the DEIS and should under no circumstances be rushed forward. The project's operational boundary, which sits directly on top of the headwaters of the South Fork Salmon River, is extremely problematic and thus requires heightened scrutiny.

Additionally, the proposed mine is highly controversial and has the potential to significantly impact resources of high public interest, including ESA-listed fish, the Frank Church River of No Return Wilderness, rivers that are eligible for Wild and Scenic River designation, Roadless Areas, and extensive ecological, cultural, and recreational resources. The Forest Service has allowed longer comment periods for similarly sized mining proposals that did not involve the same proximity to wilderness areas or threaten ESA-listed anadromous fish.

A cornerstone of the National Environmental Policy Act is to facilitate public involvement in agency decision-making. Any comment period short of 120 days is a clear obstacle to public involvement and will only add to the deep controversy that this project already carries. If the Forest Service needed significant additional time to analyze the project, the public absolutely needs a 120-day comment period to review that analysis.

The timing of this release runs headlong into a gauntlet of both federal holidays and holidays deeply rooted in our nation's traditions, especially the religious holy days throughout December and early January. I hope you will consider this appeal to extend the comment period for the Stibnite Gold Project and allow the public ample time to have their voices heard.

Sincerely,

Sincerely,
Jim and Kathy Reavy

12/1/2022