

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 12/24/2022 5:00:00 AM

First name: Nan

Last name: Gray

Organization:

Title:

Comments: The mvp project writers seem to think "34.021 acres of wetland" is useful; however impractical and meaningless to have so many significant figure. There are many parts of the mvp project were undo importance is placed in meaningless fractions and no importance placed on actual measurable parameters, such as soils.

MVP is supposed to cover the ROW with vegetation; however, they do not know the soil chemistry nor plant needs and so the constructionsoilmix lies bare to the weather and soil-erosive forces of wind, rain, freeze-thaw and desiccation, making future soil amendments for vegetative growth even more necessary and critical for soil stability.

And that loose nonaggregated constructionsoilmix is transported to the waterways, currently. Eroded soil that gets into the streams and called sediment is a problem for the ground from which it came, trouble for the aquatic life that suffocates from the sediment, or the sediment makes finding food or a mate for reproduction, difficult.

Mvp is not compatible to life in water nor life on land, and certainly kills soil life intercepted by the route. The construction destroys soils structure and renders soils unable to continue ecosystem services such as filtering air and water of contaminants, growing food or any vegetation, much less staying in place on steep mountain slopes in landslide prone soils.

I would recommend a site non-Forest Service visit to Yellow Finch Lane, Elliston, Virginia, to see the kind of work mvp is doing/has done. The place is a disgrace. The small stream is home to the endangered Roanoke logperch fish and the site of the Pipeline Opponents Resistance Treesit, which preserved tree covered healthy stabilized soils on mountain slopes in excess of 35% slope. The land intercepted by the mvp construction is raw and naked and soils sloughing off into the little creek along Yellow Finch Lane, making the creek muddy and polluted with unprotected soil. The Treesit was removed and the trees on the slope cut and left scattered opposite the disturbed and displaced constructionsoilmix. Both sides of the creek are actively eroding soils into the creek, impairing the habitat of the little fish.

If you want to see what mvp will do, go see what they have done. The best answer is to say to mvp, "No, no permit. Take your pipe away and repair the soil as it needs to be.". In this example, Roanoke spent a lot of effort and money repairing the habitat for the Roanoke logperch, not so that mvp could kill off Roanoke's signature fish species.

Mvp has interest in mvp, not the public good, so a permit is not appropriate because its issuance is contrary to the public interest, and this applies to public lands such as the Jefferson National Forest.