

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 12/24/2022 12:31:14 AM

First name: James

Last name: Petersen

Organization:

Title:

Comments: These proposed exchanges have been predicated on illegal actions.

Any "exchange" of lands will be suspect because of the illegal attempts of taking of the public's access rights by private landowners. These land owners are trying to coerce the concession of public access.

The USFS has privately met with landowners, which seems against the law.

The USFS has taken to relinquish the public's rights to access by not adhering to USFS rules. It is questionable that the USFS can relinquish the public's rights to the national forest.

When the "four trails" are agreed to be historical public access points to the peoples' forest, in perpetuity, then the PUBLIC discussion of "exchanges" can be considered.

Illegal blocking of public access by private land owners should be punished by law, not rewarded by land "exchanges".