

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 12/19/2022 4:49:57 PM

First name: Bob

Last name: Bugni

Organization:

Title:

Comments: This proposal should be rejected immediately on its face value. The FS should not be a party to an agreement that harms the public for the benefit of a few. Proposals like this give the FS a bad reputation and distrust from the public at large. It like the old day when the FS tried to cut large swathes of land and build thousands miles of roads that hurt elk habitat and clean water.

There is not a valid reason for the public to give up access to the Sweet Grass Trail, to give up net wetlands, to give up mineral rights and to give up water rights. Would you give this up if you were a private landowner? No way in hell!!!! This smells bad because it is really bad. The public comment period must be extended and the FS need to hold public meetings with maps and info across Montana.

Montana is changing fast and the public demands better access to public land. The FS should be taking the lead to increase public access thru easements, trails, roads (not logging roads) and spending public dollars in legal fees if that what it takes. If you rollover to landowners and the super rich Yellowstone Club, we will never get the access the public is paying your agency to obtain. How is the proposal following your mandate from Congress and the public who pay federal taxes? Shame on who ever is behind this!!!!