

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 12/19/2022 3:11:21 PM

First name: David

Last name: Keller

Organization:

Title:

Comments: I have reviewed this proposal and commented in objection to the project as written. I find the project to be a net negative for the public at large, hunters and shooting sports, public lands access, and wildlife habitat. Here are my concerns:

- 1) The PEA will minimize hunting recreation on Crazy Mountain public lands by reducing the number of access points in the mountain range. Instead, a 22-mile-long trail through remote lands will be substituted. This new trail will result in a net disruption to hunting opportunities through high traffic trail use. This is what happened on the west-side and will happen again on the east side. Ironically, the USFS science division supports this conclusion through publications but it is being dismissed at the administrative level during this process.
- 2) Fishing opportunities are eliminated by the PEA in the Sweet Grass drainage by trading away 2+ miles of creek bottom.
- 3) There are mineral rights issues with the land transfer. Simply put, only 18% of private mineral rights will be transferred into public holdings while 100% of public mineral rights will be transferred into private holdings. Montana law is very clear that mineral rights supersede surface rights. This becomes a serious concern in both the monetary value of the exchanged lands and in the possibility that the mineral holders would choose to exercise their rights and explore on lands the public is a surface owner. It's only prudent to require and even exchange.
- 4) Another omission of the PEA is it does not disclose the value of severed water rights. The PEA indicates that water rights will be transferred from federal to private holdings but does not disclose any value of that transfer. The public has a right to know these details.
- 5) There is no disclosure on the value of timberlands in the exchange. This is another omission in the PEA and the public has a legal right to see these numbers.
- 6) There is also no disclosure of the value of the land exchanged. The exchange of high producing lowlands for less producing highlands is not an equal exchange, even though a few more acres of private lands are being transferred into public holdings.