

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 12/19/2022 1:31:51 AM

First name: Patrick

Last name: Sievert

Organization:

Title:

Comments: I strongly oppose this land swap as it is supposed. This is perhaps the least equitable land swap proposal I have ever seen. The public gives up access points, gives up productive foothill land, gives up more water rights than it gets in return, gives up more mineral rights than it gets in return, meaning that private entities will retain mineral rights on the proposed new public land, potentially leading to their development. The USFS did not perform an economic analysis of the value of the land or the timber, and if they had, it is very clear that the public would've been on the losing side of this trade.

This proposed trade is unfairly lopsided, and must be rejected.