

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 12/13/2022 4:52:39 AM

First name: Sam

Last name: Schmidt

Organization:

Title:

Comments: I oppose the proposal as it is severely imbalanced in favor of the private parties:

The public loses miles of fishable streams

The public trades high quality, low-land wildlife habitat for higher, steeper, less productive habitat.

The public loses hunting and angling opportunity (as acknowledged in the USFS's PEA)

The public loses more water rights than it gains

The public gives 100% of mineral rights and receives only 18% in return

The public gives up two important and historic trails and four administrative roads in return for one trail.

The public loses over 40 acres of wetlands

Lastly there is no disclosure of land or timber value lost by the public.