Data Submitted (UTC 11): 12/12/2022 3:08:35 PM
First name: james
Last name: hundley
Organization:
Title:
Comments: Dear sirs, As a public land user and sportsman i'm very disappointed in this proposal that appears to be written by the private parties of this agreement. This is a one sided agreement against the public land users.
I oppose for the following reasons:
The proposal is severely imbalanced in favor of the private parties:
The public loses miles of fishable streams
The public trades high quality, low-land wildlife habitat for higher, steeper, less productive habitat.
The public loses hunting and angling opportunity
The public loses more water rights than it gains
The public gives 100% of mineral rights and receives only 18% in return
The public gives up two important and historic trails and four administrative roads in return for one trail.
The public loses over 40 acres of wetlands
Lastly there is no disclosure of land or timber value lost by the public.