

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 12/9/2022 3:04:32 PM

First name: David

Last name: McKay

Organization:

Title:

Comments: I strongly oppose the proposed land swap as it is currently written. The public loss of recreational access and opportunity is unacceptable, and the environmental assessment does not disclose the monetary value of exchanged lands and can therefore not meet the requirement that values be equal. The value of severed water rights is also not disclosed, nor is the value of lost timberland. The loss of public claim to Sweet Grass Creek Road and Trail #122 is too great a loss for a consolidation of public land in less desirable terrain for wildlife. A fair land swap is still achievable; please go back to the drawing board and work towards an acceptable solution rather than pushing through this inequitable swap.