

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 12/2/2022 7:00:46 PM

First name: Gregory

Last name: Horwitz

Organization:

Title:

Comments: We often consider the advantage of moving through a project to it's completion. Although, this is a good thing, we must always consider the long term consequences of our actions. Future generations of Americans would see a devastating, and permanent damage to the land at large. Preserving the soil, (which will erode and habitat which will catastrophically impact of the natural fauna), must always be a primary agenda of land management.

If it weren't for President Teddy Roosevelt, there might have been no sequoias for us to enjoy. Indeed, Yosemite National Forrest, was created to keep logging companies from changing that landscape forever. Because of him and other forward thinking people we have magnificent Parks today.

I am against clear cutting and would like to see significantly less clear cutting of all 3 kinds. I am not against the Forest Service managing the land to remove invasive species and diseased trees. Even selective cutting can make sense in some cases. However, the amount of clear cutting in this plan is about 5,000 acres using 3 types of harvest methods. This is excessive. Clear cutting on this many acres will increase the already frequent flooding problems, degrade the water supply, lead to erosion, landslides and destroy habitat for wildlife.

Thank you so much for your consideration.

Gregory V. Horwitz