

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 11/15/2022 8:54:01 PM

First name: David

Last name: Honea

Organization:

Title:

Comments: Ref: Catamount Spring Creek Project 63036 Scoping Letter 10/18/2022

Most if not all gas wells have data logging and a line of sight data link to a central processing station to provide real time monitoring of well status and failure reporting. Presumably the Catamount wells at Pargin MTN UT 2 will have a similar setup. One issue suggested by the location of Pargin MTN UT 2 is in regards to topography blocking line of site to a central Catamount monitoring facility. Would the data link be routed up to the tower location in Sec 15 of T34R6W and relayed from there or would it be routed down the FS 537 road easement until line of site can be established to the south. Perhaps the EA might consider these or other options presented by Catamount.

Another aspect of the semi-remote location of Pargin MTN UT 2 has to do with wildfire detection and reporting. In general, the locations of the majority of gas wells in La Plata County are within visible range of residents. Smoke plumes, flames, or explosion noise are easily noted and reported in a timely manner. Such is not the case for Pargin MTN UT 2. In order for a fire to be detected near there, it might likely develop or expand to an undesirable degree before being noted and reported.

Will the Spring Creek Project EA evaluate the necessity and nature of remote monitoring appropriate for both the six proposed wells and associated OGF as well as early detection and reporting of wildfire in the vicinity?