Data Submitted (UTC 11): 10/6/2022 11:32:35 PM

First name: Becky Last name: Buska Organization:

Title:

Comments: I would like to add this to my previous comments. Unfortunately the Forrest Service did not conduct the Seeley Lake Public Meeting in a fashion that allowed all who wanted to speak, the time to speak. After being in line for approximately 90 minutes, I was refused the right to speak (along with at least 25 people behind me in line). Speakers should have been limited in order for all to make at least short comments and get their questions on the record. Also, all but a couple of the questions sent in before the meeting by people who were attending the meeting virtually were not heard. These questions should be made public and should be entered into the public record.

## I had three points to make:

- 1 Forrest Service and POWDR personnel answered a question about the impact on back country trails by saying it wasn't in the plan to provide this from the Holland Lake Lodge. In fact, a local outfitter has already been approached by POWDR about providing this service to HLL patrons...further, the POWDR website we were referred to during the meeting, specifically states that POWDR will "Partner with local guides and outfitters to offer guests more activities"....this will most certainly impact the use of the back country. This effect needs to be part of the environmental study that needs to be done.
- 2 During the Condon public meeting on 10/4/22, Kurt Steele stated that it was a mistake that the proposed development plan did not fit in the existing Holland Lake Lodge lease area. The area in question has grown from 10.53 acres in the current lease to 19 acres as measured by available mapping. This is a HUGE mistake...almost an 80 percent increase of the lease area with no mention of this specifically in the plan. The public deserves to know how this "mistake" will be fixed. A large part of this increase gives the leasee considerably more shore line. The increased activity so close to the shoreline will have huge impacts on the public who are not staying at the "resort" as well as the water, fish and loons.
- 3. The proposed plan for development states the new capacity will be 156 patrons. If you look at the numbers: The new capacity is really a total of 245 (28 lodge rooms x 4, 10 cabins x 6, 16 bunkies x 3 + 25 employees). This is approximately a 550% increase over current capacity of 45. All of the analysis regarding impacts should be completed with these numbers, not the numbers as submitted in the plan.