

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 10/6/2022 9:20:15 PM

First name: Lee

Last name: Mason

Organization:

Title:

Comments: I am against the USFS proceeding with the approval of the HLL expansion for the following reasons:

1. First of all, the CE was never meant to be used for this type of modification of a Special Use Permit. Why is the USFS going this route?
 2. It is not the USFS mission to ensure that commercial permit holders turn a profit within the limit of their permit,
 3. The removal of 150 plus trees will result in the loss of dozens of more trees due to the weakening of the canopy from high winds, which we often get in the Swan Valley. This will also result in displacement of animals in the forest.
 4. I think the USFS & POWDR have underestimated the long term impact of both sewage and fresh water for the proposed facility. A longer term study of the water table, percolation tests, etc. need to be addressed in an EIS.
 5. USFS did not give the public sufficient response to a project, that has been developed over months, which is a violation of NEPA.
 6. There has been no explanation of transfer of a Special Permit to a corporate entity.
 7. Analysis of the significant impact of endangered species and designated critical habitat, displacement of wildlife including grizzly, black bear, elk, lynx, wolverines, mule and white tail deer, and nesting loon and more.
 8. In it's present form the expansion of HLL will severely and negatively impact the local infrastructure and lifestyle, especially traffic and emergency services. We will lose the beautiful, quiet serenity we have living in the upper Swan Valley.
 9. Increased use of helicopters and other aircraft at Holland Lake and our local airstrip adding to noise pollution.
- In conclusion, the USFS should reject this proposal as grossly inappropriate for public land in endangered species habitat in the Holland Lake and Swan Valley. This proposal is not what we cherish in the Swan Valley.