

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 9/29/2022 6:30:50 PM

First name: Philip

Last name: Robbins

Organization:

Title:

Comments: My family has owned a property located near Holland Lake for the last 45 years which speaks to my connection with the area. Several years ago my family replaced a very small dock but before that permit was authorized and issued we had to provide an Environmental Assessment. Is there different standard between a private property owned Fee Simple such as ours as opposed, to the Holland Lake Lake Lodge which is effectively a lease hold owned by the US Forrest Service? Why for instance does a private fee simple land owner have to furnish an Environmental Assessment in order to replace an existing dock but lease holder tenant's like POWDR and Mr. Christian Wohlfeil can develop and substantially expand a commercial property on Holland Lake without an Environmental Assessment or an Environmental Impact Statement? I do not understand the rationale? Do commercial tenants on US Forrest Service land receive special treatment compared to private fee simple stake holders?

Insofar as the proposed expansion of the Holland Lake Lodge is concerned the proposed project is absolutely objectionable. What makes Holland Lake unique and special is the absence of high intensity commercial business. The language in the proposed project makes reference to the historic Lodge and deceptively characterizes the proposed expansion with terms like "restoration, a little TLC, and repairs"... Actually the proposed expansion of the Holland Lake Lodge does not comport with the Secretary of the Interiors standards with respect to historic preservation standards and definitions. To suggest that the historic fabric of the existing improvements located at 1947 Holland Lake Lodge Road have been appropriately reviewed and contemplated in the proposed commercial project is as disingenuous a representation as claiming that this project respects the rugged natural beauty of that defines the historic context of 1947 Holland Lake Lodge. Insofar as restoration of historic structures located on public land is concerned, is the general public meant to believe that this proposed restoration project work on US Forrest Service Land has anything to do with actually conserving a quaint diminutive early 20th century mountain lodge?

Finally my objection to the proposed commercial redevelopment of 1947 Holland Lake Lodge Rd is and the the adjoining US Forrest Service Land is that at minimum the appearance impropriety exists. Specifically because the POWDR is a large corporation and because the only interest that appears to be considered and served by this expedited review process is a private out of State leaseholder. It is outrageous that a private citizen and resident stakeholder of fee simple property is obligated to pay for and furnish an environmental assessment on the same body of water as the Holland Lake Lodge, with a fraction of the frontage, for a permit to replace a dilapidated dock. The absence of oversight with respect to how the US Forrest Service is managing their tenant's Special Use Permit at 1947 Holland Lake Lodge Road appears to give blind deference to a privately held corporate interest namely, POWDR with complete disregard to the ecology of the lake and the and adjoining forrest.