

Data Submitted (UTC 11): 9/15/2022 12:00:00 PM

First name: Shelby

Last name: Baumgartner

Organization:

Title:

Comments: Dear Mr. Petrick:

This is a form letter that I agree with but wanted to add my own remarks. The dates in the proposed OSV plan are unreasonable. The opening date should be based on amount of snow on the ground to prevent resource damage. The closing date should also be dictated by snow depth for the same reason. There is sound science showing that snowmobiles do not affect wildlife especially hibernating bears. As a public land owner please do not close more areas or implement unreasonable rules.

I am writing to share feedback regarding the Kaniksu OSV Travel Management Plan. I enjoy recreating on public land and want to express my support for keeping access open in the Panhandle Forest for all types of recreation uses, including OSV use. I believe through proper management and education trails roads and areas can remain open without negative impacts. Proper access will help mitigate damage by preventing concentration of use and impacts.

Wildlife is an area of concern within the management plan. Many species were identified and measures were proposed to ensure protection for these animals. The USFS needs to use best available science in setting boundaries and making decisions. Currently bear populations are being managed appropriately therefore the need to designate more wildlife habitat or enact more restrictions in the name of preservation is inconsistent and unnecessary.

These forests include wilderness areas and other restrictive designations. In other words, there is already very restrictive management in the areas bordering the forest and Forest Service lands should be managed for the greatest good for the greatest number of people. Restricting OSV use to specific dates is arbitrary and capricious. The USFS should develop an alternative that allows the date-restricted areas to be managed when motorized access standards are met.

The USFS should finally begin to reverse its decades-long systematic discrimination against those with mobility impairment-related disabilities. Travel management policies focused on "minimizing" the environmental impacts of motorized recreation have resulted in a dramatic increase of lands that are closed to those who can only access public lands with motorized assistance. OSV access allows those with mobility impairment disabilities to enjoy winter recreation on USFS lands.

While the scoping proposal doesn't identify using minimum snow depth requirements to allow for snowmobile use, I am opposed to any recommendation that snow depth be used as a motorized access standard. Snow depth isn't a reliable standard for motorized access. Managers should have discretion to allow OSV use if the use won't result in meaningful environmental impacts.

I am opposed to the USFS closing any areas to OSV use for alleged user conflict. USFS shouldn't be basing its decisions off of the subjective preferences of users who want to restrict the use of others.

In conclusion, I believe in shared use and that there is enough public land for all to enjoy as long as agencies use best practices. Please refrain from closures as roads and trails are critical to the forest.